

Consolidated Financial Statements

(expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

Years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008

SHAREHOLDER UPDATE

Review of Fiscal 2009 and Outlook for Fiscal 2010

Bradford Cooke, Chairman and CEO of Canarc Resource Corp. (TSX: CCM, OTC-BB: CRCUF, DB-Frankfurt: CAN), is pleased to provide the following review of Canarc's progress in 2009 and its plans for 2010.

2009 Highlights

- Acquired an option to earn up to a 100% interest in the Tay LP property within the prolific Tintina Gold Belt of the Yukon Territory.
- Raised \$960,000 in two private placements to fund working capital and exploration drilling at Tay LP.
- Completed 1840 meters of diamond drilling in 10 holes at Tay LP to extend two historic gold-mineralized zones along strike and down dip.
- Updated the preliminary economic assessment of New Polaris at US\$900 gold

Review of 2009

The main investment theme in 2009 seemed to be looking for early signs of recovery from the 2008 global financial crisis, led by the bounce back in the gold price. Gold was once again one of the best performing investment sectors in 2009, up 30% to close the year at US\$1087 per oz.

Resource stocks bottomed in late December 2008 due to tax loss selling and enjoyed a 25-50% bounce back in 2009. Canarc's share price opened 2009 at CA\$0.05 per share, peaked at \$0.19 in September and closed the year in the CA\$0.13 range.

Notwithstanding the difficult market conditions, Canarc management continued to seek opportunities for growth, as evidenced by our option to acquire the Tay LP property. We evaluated several other possible acquisitions during the year and updated the preliminary economic assessment of our New Polaris property.

New Polaris: Canarc President Garry Biles oversaw the updating and optimization of the preliminary assessment on New Polaris by Moose Mountain Technical Services. The economics of the New Polaris gold mine project were substantially improved thanks to the higher gold price. At \$US900 per oz gold and a \$US /\$CA exchange rate of 0.95, the project generates a pre-tax Net Present Value ("NPV5%") of CA\$104.9 million (after-tax \$68.6 million), a pre-tax internal rate of return ("IRR") of 32.0% (after-tax 25.8%) and a payback period of 2.7 years. Cash costs were estimated at US\$383 per oz of gold produced.

Tay LP: Canarc Vice President of Exploration James Moors oversaw the evaluation and exploration of the Tay LP property within the prolific Tintina Gold Belt of the Yukon Territory. A total of 1840 meters of diamond drilling was completed in 10 holes at Tay LP to extend two historic gold-mineralized zones along strike and down dip. Drilling highlights included 9.14 gpt gold over 1.0 m (0.27 oz per ton over 3.3 feet) contained within a broader mineralized zone grading 0.71 gpt gold over 29.2 m in hole TLP09-1.

Caza: Caza Gold Corp. acquired a large new gold exploration project in the Moris district of western Chihuahua in 2009. Caza also completed a two phase surface mapping and sampling program at its primary Santiago gold project, also located in western Chihuahua. Canarc sold 800,000 shares of Caza privately in 2009 to hold approximately 1.6 million shares, or about 7% of Caza Gold Corp. at year-end.

Aztec: Aztec Metals Corp. optioned a 50% interest in its primary property at Matehuala in San Luis Potosi to Parallel Resources in 2009. The Company subsequently optioned a 50% interest in a second property at Charcas West in San Luis Potosi to Parallel Resources. Aztec owns a third property at Viesca in Coahuila. Canarc continues to hold approximately 2.4 million shares, or about 11% of the shares of Aztec.

Outlook For 2010

The outlook for gold and gold stocks for 2010 remains positive as evidenced by the run in the gold price late last year to US\$1200 per oz and some forecasters are calling for US\$1500 gold or higher this year. Management is also bullish that gold will seek new highs this year due to the continuing decline of fiat currencies and the inflation that inevitably arises from reflationary monetary policies.

Canarc plans three strategic initiatives this year to create value for shareholders.

Firstly, Canarc will continue to seek strategic alternatives such as a joint venture or other means to advance the New Polaris high grade gold mine project to mine development and a full feasibility study. Discussions are currently underway with a couple of interested parties.

Secondly, the Company will look for ways to optimize its investment in, and option to acquire, the Tay LP property. Gold mineralization at Tay-LP shares some geological similarities with other recent gold discoveries within the Tintina Gold Belt, such as the Rau property of Atac Resources (ATC: TSX-V) and the Ketza River gold deposit of Yukon-Nevada Gold (YNG: TSX). Management is of the opinion that the exploration potential at Tay LP remains very attractive. The 2009 drill holes are still 1000 m east of the intrusive contact and have yet to test the full length of the MaxMin anomaly. Combine these factors with the existence of two other intrusive bodies, and numerous other untouched geophysical and geochemical anomalies and it is safe to say we have many attractive targets that we are looking forward to testing with the next exploration program.

Thirdly, we will continue to pursue new opportunities for growth by evaluating attractive gold projects in the USA and Canada for acquisition where management's exploration and mining experience can add value. Management is of the belief that this market environment is very attractive for making strategic gold property acquisitions at this time while asset values are depressed.

We appreciate the support of our many shareholders, and we can all look forward to a more rewarding year of growth for the Company.

Canarc Resource Corp. is a growth-oriented, gold exploration company listed on the TSX (CCM) and the OTC-BB (CRCUF). Canarc is currently focused on advancing its New Polaris gold mine project in north-western British Columbia to the feasibility stage, exploring the large Tay LP property and acquiring attractive gold exploration and mining projects in North America. Barrick Gold Corp. is a shareholder.

CANARC RESOURCE CORP.

Per:

/s/ Bradford J. Cooke

Bradford J. Cooke *Chairman and C.E.O.*

March 30, 2010

CAUTIONARY DISCLAIMER - FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained herein regarding the Company and its operations constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements that are not historical facts, including without limitation statements regarding future estimates, plans, objectives, assumptions or expectations of future performance, are "forward-looking statements". We caution you that such "forward looking statements" involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results and future events to differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Such risks and uncertainties include fluctuations in precious metal prices, unpredictable results of exploration activities, uncertainties inherent in the estimation of mineral reserves and resources, fluctuations in the costs of goods and services, problems associated with exploration and mining operations, changes in legal, social or political conditions in the jurisdictions where the Company operates, lack of appropriate funding and other risk factors, as discussed in the Company's filings with Canadian and American Securities regulatory agencies. The Company expressly disclaims any obligation to update any forward-looking statements.



AUDITORS' REPORT TO THE SHAREHOLDERS

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of Canarc Resource Corp. as at December 31, 2009 and 2008 and the consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform an audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

In our opinion, these consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as at December 31, 2009 and 2008 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles.

The consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2007 were audited by another firm of auditors who expressed an opinion without reservation on those statements in their report dated March 14, 2008.

"Smythe Ratcliffe LLP" (signed)

Chartered Accountants

Vancouver, Canada March 24, 2010

Consolidated Balance Sheets (expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

			Decen	ber 31,	1
			2009		2008
ASSETS					
CURRENT ASSETS					
Cash		\$	155	\$	155
Receivables and prepaids			145		295
Royalty receivable - current portion (Note 6(c)(i))			50		50
Total Current Assets			350		500
NON-CURRENT ASSETS					
Mineral properties (Note 6)			12,626		12,071
Equipment (Note 7)			2		3
Royalty receivable - long-term portion (Note 6(c)(i))			46		88
Long-term investments (Notes 8 and 10)			143		167
Total Non-Current Assets			12,817		12,329
Total Assets		\$	13,167	\$	12,829
Notes payable (Note 10) Income taxes payable (Note 13) Total Current Liabilities			329 999		306
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Shows comital (Mate (Val))			56,436		55 240
Share capital (Note 9(a)) Contributed surplus			2,354		55,349 2,217
Deficit Deficit			(46,622)		(45,043
Total Shareholders' Equity			12,168		12,523
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		\$	13,167	\$	12,829
Nature of operations and going concern (Note 1) Commitments and contingencies (Notes 6 and 13) Subsequent event (Note 13) Refer to the accompanying notes to the consolidated final	uncial statem	ents.			
Approved on behalf of the Board:					
/s/ Bradford Cooke	/s/	William Price			
Director	Direct	tor			-

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

			ears er	ded December 3	51,			
		2009		2008		2007		
Expenses:								
Amortization	\$	1	\$	2	\$	2		
Corporate development	·	37	·	17		65		
Employee and director remuneration (Note 11)		406		350		618		
Foreign exchange loss (gain)		87		77		(198)		
General and administrative (Note 11)		195		348		592		
Shareholder relations		62		121		198		
Stock-based compensation (Note 9(b))		117		203		442		
Loss before the undernoted		(905)		(1,118)		(1,719)		
(Loss) gain on disposition of marketable securities		-		(32)		1,152		
Gain on disposition of long-term investment		93		228		-		
Gain from disposition of subsidiary (Note 6(b))		-		221		-		
Investment and other income		-		2		28		
Accretion of royalty receivable (Note 6(c)(i))		8		11		15		
Write-off of mineral properties (Note 6(c)(ii))		(57)		(6,275)		-		
Interest expense		(3)		-		-		
Flow through financing costs (Note 13)		(489)		-		_		
Loss before income tax		(1,353)		(6,963)		(524)		
Future income tax (expense) recovery (Notes 9(a)(i) and (iii))		(226)		-		2,039		
Net (loss) income for the year		(1,579)		(6,963)		1,515		
Other comprehensive (loss) income:								
Unrealized (loss) gain on available-for-sale securities		-		(3)		289		
Realized gain on sale of available-for-sale securities		-		32		(1,152)		
Foreign exchange on unrealized gain		-		-		68		
Foreign exchange on realized gain		-		(1)		(70)		
Comprehensive (loss) income for the year	\$	(1,579)	\$	(6,935)	\$	650		
Basic and diluted (loss) earnings per share	\$	(0.02)	\$	(0.10)	\$	0.02		
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding		74,486,023		71,793,931		69,907,839		

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity (expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

				Years ended	Decembe	er 31,			
	20	009		20	800		20	007	
	Shares	Amount		Shares	Ar	nount	Shares		Amount
Share capital:									
Balance, beginning of year Issued:	72,704,505	\$ 55,3	49	71,734,505	\$	55,289	68,470,476	\$	55,629
Private placement, net of share issuance costs (Note 9(a))	9,104,900		37	1,000,000		77	2,200,000		1,039
Property acquisition (Notes 6(a)(ii), 6(d)(iii) and (v))	160,250		24	-		-	45,000		24
Exercise of options	-		-	-		-	830,000		530
Exercise of share appreciation rights	-	_	•	-		-	189,029		106
Provision for flow-through shares (Notes 9(a)(i) and (iii))	-	2	26	-		-	-		(2,039)
Write-off of mineral properties (Note 6(d)(iii))	-		-	(30,000)		(17)	-		_
Balance, end of year	81,969,655	56,4	36	72,704,505		55,349	71,734,505		55,289
Contributed surplus:									
Balance, beginning of year		2,2	17			2,014			1,855
Exercise of options		,	-			-			(177)
Fair value of stock options recognized		1	17			203			405
Fair value of share appreciation rights			-			-			(69)
Fair value of finders' fee warrants			20			-			
Balance, end of year		2,3	54			2,217			2,014
Accumulated other comprehensive income:									
Balance, beginning of year Adoption of new accounting policy			-			(28)			-
for available-for-sale securities (Note 2(b))			-			-			837
Unrealized (loss) gain on available-for-sale securities			-			(3)			289
Realized loss (gain) on sale of available-for-sale securities			-			32			(1,152)
Foreign exchange on unrealized gain on available-for-sale se			-			-			68
Foreign exchange on realized gain on available-for-sale secu	rities		-			(1)			(70)
Balance, end of year			-			-			(28)
Deficit:									
Balance, beginning of year		(45,0	43)			(37,795)			(39,272)
Adoption of new accounting policy for royalty receivable (N			-			-			(38)
Disposition of subsidiaries pursuant to plan of arrangement ((Note 5)		-			78			-
Dividends pursuant to plan of arrangement (Note 5)		=	-			(363)			-
Net (loss) income for the year		(1,5)	79)			(6,963)			1,515
Balance, end of year		(46,6	22)			(45,043)			(37,795)
Total Shareholders' Equity		\$ 12,1	68		\$	12,523		\$	19,480

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

	Yea	ars ende	ed December	31,			
	2009		2008		2007		
Cash provided from (used for):							
Operations:							
Net (loss) income for the year	\$ (1,579)	\$	(6,963)	\$	1,515		
Items not involving cash:							
Accretion of royalty receivable (Note 6(c)(i))	(8)		(11)		(15)		
Accrued interest	3		-		-		
Amortization	1		2		2		
Employee remuneration	-		58		-		
Loss (gain) on disposition of marketable securities	-		32		(1,152)		
Gain on disposition of long-term investment	(93)		(228)		-		
Gain on disposition of subsidiary (Note 6(b))	-		(221)		-		
Stock-based compensation	117		203		442		
Unrealized currency translation loss (gain)	1		22		(47)		
Write-off of mineral property (Note 6(c)(ii))	57		6,275		-		
Future income tax (expense) recovery	226		-		(2,039)		
Flow-through financing costs	489		-		-		
	(786)		(831)		(1,294)		
Changes in non-cash working capital items:	(100)		(32-)		(-,-,-,		
Receivables and prepaids	103		180		(106)		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	244		51		96		
Cash used by operating activities	(439)		(600)		(1,304)		
Financing:							
Issuance of common shares, net of share issuance costs	857		77		1,392		
Proceeds from notes payable	60		-		304		
Cash from financing activities	917		77		1,696		
					,		
Investing:			10		1.600		
Proceeds from disposal of marketable securities	-		12		1,690		
Proceeds from royalties and debt settlement (Note 6(c)(i))	50		50		50		
Proceeds from disposition of subsidiary (Note 6(b))	-		204		(12)		
Acquisition of marketable securities	-		(70)		(13)		
Mineral properties, net of recoveries	(644)		(79)		(3,696)		
Cash of subsidiaries pursuant to plan of arrangement (Note 5)	-		(345)		- (2)		
Equipment	-		-		(3)		
Proceeds from disposal of long-term investments (Note 8)	116		203		(54)		
Cash (used by) from investing activities	(478)		45		(2,026)		
Decrease in cash	_		(478)		(1,634)		
Cash, beginning of year	 155		633		2,267		
Cash, end of year	\$ 155	\$	155	\$	633		

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

	Y	ears ende	d December	· 31,	
	2009	2	2008		2007
Non-cash financing and investing activities:					
Dividends issued pursuant to plan of arrangement (Note 5)	\$ -	\$	363	\$	-
Shares returned to treasury from disposition					
of mineral property interest (Note 6(d)(iii))	-		17		-
Fair value of stock options allocated to shares issued on exercise	of:				
Share appreciation rights	-		-		69
Stock options	-		-		177
Fair value of finders' fees warrants	20		-		24
Issuance of shares for mineral property interests (Notes					
6(a)(ii) and 9(a)(i))	24		-		-
Mineral exploration tax (adjustment) credit, receivable on					
mineral properties	(113)		-		315
Accounts payable related to write-off of mineral properties	57		-		-
GST recoverable applied to corporate tax liability	(47)		-		-
Income taxes paid	-		-		-
Interest paid	-		-		-

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

1. Nature of Operations and Going Concern

Canarc Resource Corp. (the "Company"), a company incorporated under the laws of British Columbia, is in the mineral exploration business and has not yet determined whether its mineral properties contain reserves that are economically recoverable. The recoverability of amounts capitalized for mineral properties is dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable reserves in its mineral properties, the ability of the Company to arrange appropriate financing and receive necessary permitting for the exploration and development of its properties, and upon future profitable production or proceeds from the disposition thereof.

The Company has incurred significant operating losses and has a deficit of \$46.6 million at December 31, 2009. Furthermore, the Company has working capital deficiency of \$649,000 as at December 31, 2009, which is not sufficient to achieve the Company's planned business objectives. These financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which assumes the realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company's ability to continue as a going concern is dependent on the ability of the Company to raise debt or equity financings, and the attainment of profitable operations. Management is actively seeking to raise the necessary capital to meet its planned business objectives. There can be no assurance that management's plans will be successful. These financial statements do not include any adjustments to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary, should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern and such adjustments could be material.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Basis of presentation:

These consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and are presented in United States dollars.

These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its investments as follows:

- Carib Industries Ltd., in which the Company previously held a 78.5% interest and was consolidated but was disposed of in fiscal 2008 (Note 6(b));
- Caza Gold Corp. ("Caza"), in which the Company previously held a 100% interest and was consolidated but was divested pursuant to a plan of arrangement (Note 5) and held a 7% interest as at December 31, 2009 (2008 11%), and such investment was accounted for using the cost method;
- Minera Canarc de Mexico SA de CV ("Minera Canarc"), in which the Company previously held a 100% interest and was consolidated but was transferred to Caza in fiscal 2008 pursuant to a plan of arrangement (Note 5); and
- its 40% owned investee, Benzdorp Gold N.V., which is proportionately consolidated.

All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

(b) Financial instruments:

(i) Financial Instruments – Recognition and Measurement

All financial instruments are classified into one of the following five categories: held-for-trading, held-to-maturity, loans and receivables, available-for-sale financial assets, or other financial liabilities. Initial and subsequent measurement and recognition of changes in the value of financial instruments depends on their initial classification:

- Held-to-maturity investments, loans and receivables, and other financial liabilities are initially measured at fair value and subsequently measured at amortized cost. Amortization of premiums or discounts and losses due to impairment are included in current year net earnings;
- Available-for-sale financial assets are measured at fair value based on quoted market
 prices. Investment in equity instruments classified as available-for-sale that do not have a
 quoted market price in an active market is measured at cost. Revaluation gains and losses
 are included in other comprehensive income until the asset is removed from the balance
 sheet either by disposition or permanent impairment at which time the realized gain or
 loss is transferred to net earnings;
- Held-for-trading financial instruments are measured at fair value. All gains and losses are included in net earnings in the year in which they arise; and
- All derivative financial instruments are classified as held-for-trading financial
 instruments and are measured at fair value, even when they are part of a hedging
 relationship. All gains and losses are included in net earnings in the year in which they
 arise.

In accordance with this standard, the Company has classified its marketable securities as available-for-sale securities. Such securities are measured at fair market value in the consolidated financial statements with realized gains or losses recorded in net earnings and unrealized gains or losses recorded in other comprehensive income. This change in accounting policy resulted in an increase of \$837,000 in the carrying value of its marketable securities on initial adoption on January 1, 2007.

The Company's royalty receivable from disposition of subsidiary (Note 6(c)(i)) is classified as loans and receivables. It was initially recognized at fair value and subsequently measured at amortized cost using the effective interest rate method. This change in accounting policy resulted in a decrease of \$38,000 in the carrying value of its royalty receivable from disposition of subsidiary on initial adoption on January 1, 2007.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

(b) Financial instruments: (continued)

(ii) Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is the change in shareholders' equity during a year from transactions and other events from non-owner sources. This standard requires certain gains and losses that would otherwise be recorded as part of net earnings to be presented in "other comprehensive income" until it is considered appropriate to recognize into net earnings. This standard requires the presentation of comprehensive income, and its components in a separate financial statement that is displayed with the same prominence as the other financial statements.

Accordingly, the Company now includes the account "accumulated other comprehensive income" in the shareholders' equity section of the consolidated balance sheet and "other comprehensive income" in the consolidated statement of operations.

(c) Mineral properties:

All costs related to investments in mineral properties are capitalized on a property-by-property basis. Such costs include mineral property acquisition costs and exploration and development expenditures, net of any recoveries. The costs related to a property from which there is production, together with the costs of mining equipment, will be amortized using the unit-of-production method. When there is little prospect of further work on a property being carried out by the Company or its partners or when a property is abandoned or when the capitalized costs are not considered to be economically recoverable, the related property costs are written down to the amount recoverable.

From time to time, the Company may acquire or dispose of a mineral property interest pursuant to the terms of an option agreement. As the options are exercisable entirely at the discretion of the optionee, the amounts payable or receivable are not recorded. Option payments are recorded as property costs or recoveries when the payments are made or received. Proceeds received on the sale or option of the Company's property interest is recorded as a reduction of the mineral property cost. The Company recognizes in income those costs that are recovered on mineral property interests when amounts received or receivable are in excess of the carrying amount.

The amounts shown for mineral properties represent costs incurred to date and include advance net smelter return ("NSR") royalties, less recoveries and write-downs, and are not intended to reflect present or future values.

(d) Equipment:

Equipment is recorded at cost and, for equipment subject to amortization, the Company uses the declining balance method at rates varying from 10% to 30% annually.

(e) Long-term investments:

Investment in shares in which the Company's ownership is less than 20%, where significant influence does not exist, is accounted for in accordance with the Company's policy for financial instruments as defined in Note 2(b)(i).

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

(f) Proceeds on unit offerings:

Proceeds received from the issuance of units, consisting of common shares and warrants, are allocated entirely to common shares.

(g) Non-monetary transactions:

Shares issued for consideration other than cash are valued at their quoted market price at the date of issuance.

(h) Flow-through common shares:

Flow-through shares entitle a company that incurs certain resource expenditures in Canada to renounce them for tax purposes allowing the expenditures to be deducted for income tax purposes by the investors who purchased the shares. The proceeds from shares issued under flow-through share financing agreements are credited to share capital. The tax impact to the company of the renouncement is recorded on the date that the renunciation is filed with taxation authorities, through a decrease in share capital and the recognition of a future tax liability.

A portion of the future income tax assets that were not previously recognized are recognized as a recovery of future income taxes in the statement of operations up to the amount of the future income tax liability or renouncement.

The resource expenditures incurred are subject to review and approval by the taxation authorities and is adjusted in the period when such approval is confirmed.

(i) Stock-based compensation plan:

The Company has a stock option plan which is described in Note 9(b). The Company records all stock-based payments using the fair value method. For directors and employees, the fair value of the options is measured at the date of grant. For non-employees, the fair value of the options is measured on the earlier of the date at which the counterparty performance is completed or the date the performance commitment is reached or the date at which the equity instruments are granted if they are fully vested and non-forfeitable. Under the fair value method, stock-based payments are measured at the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the equity instruments issued or liabilities incurred, whichever is more reliably measurable, and are charged to operations over the vesting period. The offset is credited to contributed surplus. Consideration received on the exercise of stock options is recorded as share capital and the related amount in contributed surplus is transferred to share capital.

The Company has a share appreciation rights plan, which provides option holders the right to receive the number of common shares, valued at the quoted market price at the time of exercise of the stock options, that represent the share appreciation since granting the options. The fair value of the underlying stock option, which is cancelled on the exercise of the share appreciation rights, is transferred from the related contributed surplus to share capital. The difference between the quoted market price, on the date the share appreciation right is exercised, of the shares issued and the fair value of the stock option is recorded as share capital and charged to operations.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

(j) Asset retirement obligations:

Any statutory, contractual or other legal obligations related to the retirement of tangible long-lived assets when such obligations are incurred, are recognized if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. These obligations are measured initially at fair value and the resulting costs capitalized to the carrying value of the related asset. In subsequent periods, the liability is adjusted for any changes in the amount or timing and for the discounting of the underlying future cash flows. The capitalized asset retirement cost is amortized to operations over the life of the asset upon commencement of production. The Company assessed its mineral properties, and based upon such assessments, there were no known material asset retirement obligations as at December 31, 2009 or 2008.

(k) Earnings (loss) per share:

Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing the earnings available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the year. For all years presented, earnings available to common shareholders equals the reported earnings. The Company uses the treasury stock method for calculating diluted earnings (loss) per share. Under the treasury stock method, the weighted average number of common shares outstanding used for the calculation of diluted earnings per share assumes that the proceeds to be received on the exercise of dilutive share options and warrants are used to repurchase common shares at the average market price during the year. In the Company's case, diluted loss per share presented is the same as basic loss per share as the effect of outstanding options and warrants in the loss per share calculation would be anti-dilutive.

(1) Foreign currency translation:

The Company uses the United States dollar as its functional and reporting currency, and accounts denominated in currencies other than the United States dollar have been translated as follows:

- Revenue and expense items at the rate of exchange in effect on the transaction date;
- Non-monetary assets and liabilities at historical exchange rates, unless such items are carried at market, in which case they are translated at the exchange rate in effect on the balance sheet date; and
- Monetary assets and liabilities at the exchange rate at the balance sheet date.

Exchange gains and losses are recorded in the consolidated statement of operations in the year in which they occur.

(m) Use of estimates:

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting year. Significant areas requiring the use of management estimates relate to collectability of receivables, balances of accrued liabilities, impairment of mineral properties, determination of reclamation obligations, valuation allowances for future income tax assets, income taxes payable, and assumptions used in determining the fair value of non-cash stock-based compensation. While management believes that these estimates are reasonable, actual results could differ from those estimates and could impact future results of operations and cash flows.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

(n) Variable interest entities:

Consolidation principles apply to entities that meet the definition of a variable interest entity ("VIE"). An enterprise holding other than a voting interest in a VIE could, subject to certain conditions, be required to consolidate the VIE if it is considered its primary beneficiary whereby it would absorb the majority of the VIE's expected losses, receive the majority of its expected residual returns, or both. The Company does not have any VIE's.

(o) Income taxes:

The Company follows the asset and liability method for accounting for income taxes. Under this method, future tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases, and losses carried forward. Future tax assets and liabilities are measured using substantively enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on future tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the substantive enactment date. Future tax assets are recognized to the extent they are considered more likely than not to be realized. The valuation of future income tax assets is adjusted, if necessary, by the use of a valuation allowance to reflect the estimated realizable amount.

(p) Changes in accounting policies:

Goodwill and intangible assets:

In February 2008, the CICA issued Handbook Section 3064, "Goodwill and Intangible Assets", replacing Section 3062, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets", and Section 3450, "Research and Development Costs". This section establishes standards for the recognition, measurement, presentation, and disclosure of goodwill subsequent to its initial recognition and of intangible assets by profit-oriented enterprises. Standards concerning goodwill are unchanged from the standards included in the previous Section 3062. The new section was effective for the Company on January 1, 2009. The Company has no goodwill or intangible assets as of December 31, 2009.

(q) New accounting pronouncements:

(i) International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"):

In 2008, the Canadian Accounting Standards Board ("AcSB") confirmed that the transition to IFRS from Canadian GAAP will be effective for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2011 for publicly accountable enterprises. The Company will therefore be required to present IFRS financial statements for its March 31, 2011 interim financial statements. The effective date will require the restatement for comparative purposes of amounts reported by the Company for the interim periods and for the year ended December 31, 2010. The Company is currently evaluating the impacts of the conversion on the Company's consolidated financial statements and is considering accounting policy choices available under IFRS.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

(q) New accounting pronouncements: (continued)

(ii) Business combinations:

In January 2009, the CICA issued Section 1582, "Business Combinations", Section 1601, "Consolidated Financial Statements", and Section 1602, "Non-Controlling Interests". These sections replace the former Section 1581, "Business Combinations", and Section 1600, "Consolidated Financial Statements", and establish a new section for accounting for a non-controlling interest in a subsidiary.

Sections 1582 and 1602 will require net assets, non-controlling interests and goodwill acquired in a business combination to be recorded at fair value and non-controlling interests will be reported as a component of equity. In addition, the definition of a business is expanded and is described as an integrated set of activities and assets that are capable of being managed to provide a return to investors or economic benefits to owners. Acquisition costs are not part of the consideration and are to be expensed when incurred. Section 1601 establishes standards for the preparation of consolidated financial statements.

The new sections apply to interim and annual consolidated financial statements relating to fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2011. Earlier adoption of these sections is permitted as of the beginning of a fiscal year. All three sections must be adopted concurrently. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of these sections.

3. Management of Capital

The Company is an exploration stage company and this involves a high degree of risk. The Company has not determined whether its properties contain economically recoverable reserves of ore and currently has not earned any revenues from its mineral property interests and, therefore, does not generate cash flows from operations. The Company's primary source of funds comes from the issuance of share capital and proceeds from notes payable. The Company is not subject to any externally imposed capital requirements.

The Company defines its capital as share capital. Capital requirements are driven by the Company's exploration activities on its mineral property interests. To effectively manage the Company's capital requirements, the Company has a planning and budgeting process in place to ensure that adequate funds are available to meet its strategic goals. The Company monitors actual expenses to budget on all exploration projects and overhead to manage costs, commitments and exploration activities.

The Company has in the past invested its capital in liquid investments to obtain adequate returns. The investment decision is based on cash management to ensure working capital is available to meet the Company's short-term obligations while maximizing liquidity and returns of unused capital.

Although the Company has been successful at raising funds in the past through the issuance of share capital, it is uncertain whether it would be able to continue this financing during difficult economic conditions. The Company will continue to rely on debt and equity financings to meet its commitments as they become due and continue exploration work on its mineral property interests and to meet its administrative overhead costs for the coming year.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

4. Management of Financial Risk

The Company's investments in shares of Aztec Metals Corp. ("Aztec") and Caza are classified as available-for-sale but such shares do not have a quoted market price in an active market and are therefore measured at cost.

The Company has classified its cash as held-for-trading, receivables as loans and receivables, and accounts payable and accrued liabilities, notes payable and income taxes payable as other financial liabilities.

The Company is exposed in varying degrees to a variety of financial instrument related risks, including credit risk, liquidity risk, and market risk which includes foreign exchange risk and interest rate risk. The types of risk exposure and the way in which such exposure is managed are provided as follows.

The fair values of the Company's cash, receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, notes payable, and corporate income taxes payable approximate their carrying values due to the short terms to maturity; therefore, disclosure is not made of their level in the fair value hierarchy. Disclosure is not made of the fair value of the long-term investments as the shares do not have a quoted market price in an active market. The fair value of the royalty receivable approximates its carrying value as it was initially recognized at fair value and subsequently measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method. The royalty receivable is level three in the fair value hierarchy as it is based on unobservable inputs.

(a) Credit risk:

Credit risk is the risk of potential loss to the Company if the counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations.

The Company's credit risk is primarily attributable to its liquid financial assets including cash. The Company limits exposure to credit risk on liquid financial assets through maintaining its cash with high-credit quality Canadian financial institutions. Receivables are from government agencies and are not considered as financial instruments. The royalty receivable is due from an unrelated company, and the Company has not taken any steps to mitigate the credit risk associated with this receivable (Note 6(c)(i)).

(b) Liquidity risk:

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they become due.

The Company ensures that there is sufficient capital in order to meet short-term business requirements, after taking into account the Company's holdings of cash and its ability to raise equity financings. The Company will require significant additional funding to meet its short-term liabilities, flow-through obligations and administrative overhead costs, and to maintain its mineral property interests in 2010.

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities are due in the first quarter of fiscal 2010, and the notes payables are due on demand.

(c) Market risk:

The significant market risk exposures to which the Company is exposed are foreign exchange risk and interest rate risk.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

4. Management of Financial Risk (continued)

(c) Market risk: (continued)

(i) Foreign exchange risk:

The Company's mineral properties and operations are in Canada, and would subject it to foreign currency fluctuations. A certain portion of its operating expenses are incurred in Canadian dollars, and fluctuations in U.S. dollars would impact the earnings (losses) of the Company and the values of its assets as its financial statements are stated in U.S. dollars.

At December 31, 2009, the Company is exposed to currency risk for its U.S. dollar equivalent of financial assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars as follows:

	Held in Canadian dollars (stated in U.S. dollars)						
Cash	\$	39					
Receivables and prepaids		145					
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		(428)					
Notes payable		(63)					
Income taxes payable		(329)					
Net financial assets (liabilities)	\$	(636)					

Based upon the above net exposure as at December 31, 2009 and assuming all other variables remain constant, a 10% depreciation or appreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar could result in a decrease/increase of \$63,600 in the Company's net earnings (losses).

The Company has not entered into any agreements or purchased any instruments to hedge possible currency risks at this time.

(ii) Interest rate risk:

In respect of financial assets, the Company's policy is to invest cash at floating rates of interest in cash equivalents, in order to maintain liquidity, while achieving a satisfactory return. Fluctuations in interest rates impact on the value of cash equivalents. Interest rate risk is not significant to the Company as it has no cash equivalents at year-end and the notes payable are stated at a fixed interest rate.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

5. Plan of Arrangement

On June 25, 2008, the Company proceeded to close the Plan of Arrangement (the "Arrangement") with Caza whereby approximately 83% of the Company's interest in Caza was distributed to the shareholders of the Company. Under the Arrangement, the Company transferred all its interest in its wholly-owned Mexican subsidiary which holds all the rights to the Mexican gold exploration properties (Note 6(d)) to Caza in return for 14,346,527 shares of Caza, of which the Company distributed 11,950,577 Caza shares by way of a dividend in kind to the Company's shareholders on the basis of one share of Caza for every six shares of the Company held by shareholders as of the dividend record date. The property interests which were transferred from the Company to Caza include Los Arrastres, Santiago and Santiago Fraction properties.

The Company believes the Arrangement and spin-off is not material to the Company, therefore, disclosure of discontinued operations is not being presented.

The Arrangement was accounted for by the Company at the time of the transaction by showing an investment in Caza of \$436,501 representing the value of the 14,346,527 common shares received. The distribution of 11,950,577 Caza common shares to the Company's shareholders was accounted for as a reduction to the investment in Caza in the amount of \$363,298 with a corresponding increase in deficit.

6. Mineral Properties

	2009						2008					
	uisition losts		oration/ lopment	7	Γotal	,	uisition Costs		oration/ lopment	-	Γotal	
British Columbia:												
New Polaris (Note 6(a)(i))	\$ 3,605	\$	8,556	\$	12,161	\$	3,605	\$	8,466	\$	12,071	
Yukon:												
Tay-LP (Note 6(a)(ii))	25		440		465		-		-		-	
	\$ 3,630	\$	8,996	\$	12,626	\$	3,605	\$	8,466	\$	12,071	

(a) Canada:

(i) New Polaris:

The New Polaris property, which is located in the Atlin Mining Division, British Columbia, is 100% owned by the Company subject to a 15% net profit interest which may be reduced to a 10% net profit interest within one year of commercial production by issuing 150,000 common shares to Rembrandt Gold Mines Ltd. Acquisition costs at December 31, 2009 include a reclamation bond for CAD\$250,000.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

6. Mineral Properties (continued)

- (a) Canada: (continued)
 - (ii) Tay-LP:

On August 24, 2009, the Company entered into an option agreement to acquire a 100% interest in the Tay-LP gold property, located in Yukon, by paying CAD\$1 million in cash and/or shares and spending CAD\$1.5 million on exploration over a three-year period which can occur in two stages. In the first stage, the Company can earn a 51% interest by paying CAD\$150,000 in cash and spending CAD\$900,000 on exploration over a two-year period. In the second stage, the Company can earn an additional 49%, thereby totalling 100% interest, by paying CAD\$850,000 in cash or shares at the Company's discretion and spending CAD\$600,000 on exploration by the third year. If the Company does not proceed with the second stage, then a joint venture would be formed. The Company shall pay to the optionors a gold bonus equal to CAD\$1 per ounce ("oz") of gold for all proven and probable gold reserves and measured and indicated gold resources to a maximum of 1 million oz gold. The option agreement is subject to NSR totalling 3% which can be reduced to 1.5% by payments totalling US\$1.95 million. Commencing on or before October 31, 2009 and continuing on or before October 31 of each subsequent year until the property is put into commercial production, the Company shall pay to the NSR holders annual advance NSR royalty payments totalling CAD\$25,000 or that number of common shares of the Company and which shall be deducted from NSR obligations. The NSR of 3% shall be subject to maximum total payments based on one million payable ounces of gold being mined by commercial production but will be reduced to 500,000 payable ounces of gold if the NSR was reduced to 1.5%. The Company made a cash payment of CAD\$20,000 in August 2009. On November 4, 2009, the Company issued 160,250 shares at a value of CAD\$0.156 per share as the annual advance NSR royalty for CAD\$25,000 for the Tay-LP property.

(iii) Eskay Creek:

The Company continues to own a one-third carried interest in the Eskay Creek property, Skeena Mining Division, British Columbia, pursuant to a joint venture with Barrick Gold Corporation ("Barrick"). The property is subject to a 2% NSR in favour of a related company. In 2005, the Company elected to write-off the associated property costs.

(b) Bellavista, Costa Rica:

The Company held a net profit interest in the Bellavista property, which was located near San Jose, Costa Rica. A property agreement giving Central Sun Mining Inc. (formerly, Glencairn Gold Corporation) ("Central Sun") the right to earn a 100% working interest in the property called for pre-production payments which ended in fiscal 2005. The Company had a net profit interest in Bellavista in which the Company was entitled to 5.67% of the net profits during the first payback period, as defined, then increasing to 10.40% during the second payback period and then to 20.24% of net profits thereafter, once commercial production commenced. Thirty-five percent of this net profit interest will reduce the net profit interest to be received from Central Sun until \$317,741 in advance royalty payments were repaid.

In July 2008, as amended in December 2008, the Company entered into a purchase and sale agreement for the sale of all its 78.5% interest in the subsidiary which holds the net profit interest in the Bellavista property, for CAD\$215,000 which was received during fiscal 2008.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

6. Mineral Properties (continued)

(c) Suriname:

(i) Sara Kreek:

As at December 31, 2005, the Company held 80% of the shares of Sara Kreek Resource, the company that holds the Sara Kreek concession. On April 15, 2006, the Company entered into a Settlement and Termination Agreement with Suriname Wylap Development N.V. ("Wylap Development") to transfer its interest in Sara Kreek Resource to Wylap Development. The Company received a cash payment of \$400,000 in 2006 and shall receive the greater of \$50,000 per year, payable semi-annually, or 1.5% royalty on annual gross production from the Sara Kreek property until December 31, 2011, in settlement of all claims, loans and advances owed to the Company. The Company has received \$50,000 in annual royalties.

The royalty receivable has been determined using the effective interest rate method. The expected future cash flows have been discounted using the effective interest rate to determine the present value as at December 31, 2009.

Present value of expected cash flows from royalties as at January 1, 2008	\$ 177
Add: Accretion for the year	11
Less: Royalty received during the year	 (50)
Present value of expected cash flows from royalties as at December 31, 2008	 138
Add: Accretion for the year	8
Less: Royalty received during the year	(50)
Present value of expected cash flows from royalties as at December 31, 2009	 96
Less: Current portion of royalty receivable as at December 31, 2009	(50)
Long-term portion of royalty receivable as at December 31, 2009	\$ 46

(ii) Benzdorp:

In April 1996, the Company entered into an option agreement with Grasshopper Aluminum Company N.V. ("Grassalco") to earn up to an 80% interest in the Benzdorp property by making cumulative cash payments of \$750,000 and property expenditures totalling \$5 million over a four-year period. In August 2002, the Company and Grassalco amended the option agreement. Cash payments prior to commercial production were reduced to \$300,000 with the balance of \$450,000 to be paid on or before 30 days after the commencement of commercial production, and exploration expenditures of \$5 million were to be incurred by April 2005. In April 2005, a further amendment to the option agreement was made that extended the date by which the property expenditures had to be completed, to December 6, 2005, subject to a payment of \$40,000 which was made by the Company in April 2005. By December 6, 2005, the Company incurred property expenditures in excess of \$5 million.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

6. Mineral Properties (continued)

- (c) Suriname: (continued)
 - (ii) Benzdorp: (continued)

Pursuant to the amended option agreement, the Company will owe Grassalco an additional \$250,000 payable on or before 30 days after the commencement of commercial production if a feasibility study has not been completed by October 6, 2005. For the years 2006 to 2008, the Company will owe an additional \$250,000 payable on or before 30 days after the commencement of commercial production. However, if a feasibility study has not been completed by October 6, 2008, then the annual additional cash payments of \$250,000 will increase at that time to \$500,000 payable on or before 30 days after the commencement of commercial production. These additional cash payments will be treated as advance payments against Grassalco's shareholder ownership interest and will be deductible from Grassalco's net profit share or net smelter profit from exploiting the deposits. As at December 31, 2008, the Company had not completed a feasibility study.

In June 2007, Benzdorp Gold NV, the joint venture company held by the Company and Grassalco, had applied for an extension to the concessions at Benzdorp prior to their expiry in July 2007. Benzdorp Gold NV was finally advised in August 2008 that an extension would not be granted but an application for new concessions would be considered so Benzdorp Gold NV applied for one new exploration concession in September 2008. The Company ceased all exploration work on the concessions in August 2007, and elected to write-off its investment in the Benzdorp property in 2008.

(d) Mexico:

(i) Los Angeles:

In April 2008, Caza and Minera Canarc, wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company at that time, entered into an option agreement to acquire a 100% interest in the La Escondida/Los Angeles properties by making \$1 million in cash payments over a four-year period and issuing \$50,000 in shares of the Company over a twelve-month period. The vendors retained a 3% NSR. The Company made an initial payment of \$15,000 upon the signing of the option agreement. Pursuant to the Plan of Arrangement which closed in June 2008, Caza and Minera Canarc were thereafter no longer subsidiaries of the Company (Note 5).

(ii) Los Arrastres:

In February 2007, the Company entered into an option agreement to acquire a 100% interest in the Los Arrastres gold/silver property by making \$2.5 million in cash payments and spending \$2 million on exploration over a three-year period. The vendor retained a 2% NSR and the Company had the right to reduce the NSR to 1% by paying \$1 million at any time. An initial payment of \$50,000 was made upon the signing of the option agreement and a further payment of \$75,000 was made in August 2007. A cash payment of \$25,000 was made in February 2008. Pursuant to the Plan of Arrangement which closed in June 2008, the property was transferred to Caza (Note 5).

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

6. Mineral Properties (continued)

(d) Mexico: (continued)

(iii) Providencia and San Felix:

In March 2007, the Company entered into a preliminary option agreement to acquire a 100% interest in the Providencia and San Felix gold/silver properties by issuing 30,000 common shares to the vendors on signing a formal agreement within 30 days and making \$2 million in cash payments over a 2 ½ year period, including \$30,000 on signing. The Company issued 30,000 shares at a value of CAD\$0.63 per share in 2007. The vendors retained a 2 ½ % NSR, and the Company had the right to reduce the royalty to 1 ½ % at any time by paying \$750,000 and issuing an option to the vendors to purchase 250,000 common shares of the Company at the five-day closing share price average on the Toronto Stock Exchange prior to the royalty reduction. In April 2008, the Company terminated its efforts to enter into a formal agreement, and the Company wrote-off related exploration expenditures in the first quarter of 2008, and the 30,000 shares, which were originally issued, were returned to treasury and cancelled.

(iv) Santiago:

In May 2007, the Company entered into an option agreement to acquire a 100% interest in the Santiago gold property by making \$2 million in cash payments over a five-year period and spending \$200,000 on exploration over a two-year period. The vendor retained a 2% NSR. An initial payment of \$30,000 was made upon the signing of the option agreement and a further payment of \$30,000 was made in November 2007. A cash payment of \$60,000 was made in May 2008. Pursuant to the Plan of Arrangement which closed in June 2008, the property was transferred to Caza (Note 5).

(v) Santiago Fraction:

In September 2007, the Company entered into an option and joint venture agreement to acquire up to a 75% interest in the Santiago Fraction property by issuing 15,000 common shares, paying \$25,000 in cash after one year, and spending up to \$1 million in exploration over a five-year period. The Company issued 15,000 common shares at a value of CAD\$0.45 per share in 2007. Pursuant to the Plan of Arrangement which closed in June 2008, the property was transferred to Caza (Note 5).

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

6. Mineral Properties (continued)

(e) Expenditure options:

As at December 31, 2009, to maintain the Company's interest and to fully exercise the options under various property agreements covering its properties, the Company must make payments to the optionors as follows:

	Optio	on	Explo	ration	Advance 1	Royalty	Net S	melter	
	Payme	ents	Comm	itments	Paymo	ents	Redu	iction	Shares
	(CAD\$0	000s)	(CAD	\$000s)	(CAD\$	000s)	(US\$	(000s)	
New Polaris (Note 6(a)(i)):									
Net profit interest reduction or buydown									150,000
Tay-LP (Note 6(a)(ii)):									
April 30, 2010	\$	30	\$	-					
October 31, 2010		50		-					
October 31, 2011		50		423					
October 31, 2012		850		600					
Annual advance royalty payments									
until commercial production					\$	25			
Net smelter reduction from 3% to 1.5%							\$	1,950	
	\$	980	\$	1,023	\$	25	\$	1,950	150,000

These amounts may be reduced in the future as the Company determines which properties to continue to explore and which to abandon.

(f) Mineral properties contingencies:

The Company has diligently investigated rights of ownership of all of its mineral properties/concessions and, to the best of its knowledge, all agreements relating to such ownership rights are in good standing. However, all properties/concessions may be subject to prior claims, agreements or transfers, and rights of ownership may be affected by undetected defects.

(g) Realization:

The Company's investment in and expenditures on its mineral property interests comprise a significant portion of the Company's assets. Realization of the Company's investment in these assets is dependent on establishing legal ownership of the properties, on the attainment of successful commercial production or from the proceeds of their disposal. The recoverability of the amounts shown for mineral property interests is dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable reserves, the ability of the Company to obtain necessary financing to complete the development of the properties, and upon future profitable production or proceeds from the disposition thereof.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

6. Mineral Properties (continued)

(h) Environmental:

Environmental legislation is becoming increasingly stringent and costs and expenses of regulatory compliance are increasing. The impact of new and future environmental legislation of the Company's operation may cause additional expenses and restrictions.

If the restrictions adversely affect the scope of exploration and development on the mineral properties, the potential for production on the property may be diminished or negated.

The Company is subject to the laws and regulations relating to environmental matters in all jurisdictions in which it operates, including provisions relating to property reclamation, discharge of hazardous materials and other matters. The Company may also be held liable should environmental problems be discovered that were caused by former owners and operators of its properties and properties in which it has previously had an interest. The Company conducts its mineral exploration activities in compliance with applicable environmental protection legislation. The Company is not aware of any existing environmental problems related to any of its current or former properties that may result in material liability to the Company.

7. Equipment

		2009					2008					
			Accun	nulated	Net B	ook			Accun	nulated	Net B	ook
	Co	ost	Amort	ization	Val	ue	C	ost	Amort	ization	Val	ue
Equipment	\$	140	\$	138	\$	2	\$	140	\$	137	\$	3

8. Long-Term Investments

As at December 31, 2009, the Company had an interest of 11% (2008 - 13%) in Aztec and 7% (2008 - 11%) in Caza.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

9. Share Capital

(a) Authorized and issued:

The authorized share capital of the Company is comprised of unlimited common shares without par value.

(i) On October 22, 2009, the Company closed two private placements. One private placement was for 4,000,000 flow through shares at CAD\$0.12 per share for gross proceeds of CAD\$480,000. Finders' fees were comprised of CAD\$25,523 in cash and 241,570 warrants, of which 39,410 warrants have an exercise price of CAD\$0.15 and an expiry date of April 22, 2011 and the remaining 202,160 warrants have an exercise price of CAD\$0.15 and an expiry date of October 22, 2011.

The second private placement was for 4,800,000 units at CAD\$0.10 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$480,000. Each unit was comprised of one common share and one-half of a share purchase warrant; each whole share purchase warrant is exercisable to acquire one common share at CAD\$0.15 until April 22, 2011. Finders' fees were comprised of CAD\$18,011 in cash and 168,140 warrants which have the same terms as the warrants in the private placement for units.

On November 4, 2009, the Company issued 160,250 shares at a price of CAD\$0.156 per share as the annual advance NSR royalty for CAD\$25,000 for the Tay-LP property. Note 6(a)(ii) provides further details.

On November 9, 2009, the Company closed a private placement for 304,900 units at CAD\$0.1225 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$37,350. Each unit was comprised of one common share and one-half of a share purchase warrant; each whole share purchase warrant is exercisable to acquire one common share at CAD\$0.165 until May 9, 2011. Finders' fees were comprised of CAD\$240 in cash and 1,960 warrants which have the same terms as the warrants in the private placement for units.

On December 31, 2009, the Company recognized a future income tax expense of \$226,000 related to expenditures which do not qualify as Canadian exploration expenses ("CEE") for flow-through tax purposes as determined by Canada Revenue Agency ("CRA"). The Company has estimated approximately \$661,700 in exploration expenditures which do not qualify as CEE for flow-through purposes, resulting in a future income tax expense of approximately \$226,000. These exploration expenditures were previously renounced in March 2007. Note 13 provides further details.

(ii) In December 2008, the Company closed a non-brokered private placement for 1,000,000 units at CAD\$0.10 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$100,000. Each unit was comprised of one common share and one-half of a share purchase warrant; each whole share purchase warrant is exercisable to acquire one common share at an exercise price of CAD\$0.15 until June 1, 2010.

In May 2008, the Company wrote-off the Providencia property and 30,000 shares which were previously issued on acquisition were returned to treasury and cancelled. Note 6(d)(iii) provides further details.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

9. Share Capital (continued)

- (a) Authorized and issued: (continued)
 - (iii) In March 2007, the Company renounced CAD\$7 million in exploration expenditures from the proceeds of the flow-through private placements in 2006, resulting in the recognition of a future income tax liability of approximately \$2 million.

In July 2007, the Company closed a non-brokered private placement for 2,200,000 units at CAD\$0.52 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$1,144,000. Each unit was comprised of one common share and one-half of a share purchase warrant; each whole share purchase warrant was exercisable to acquire one common share at an exercise price of CAD\$0.65 until July 24, 2008. Finders' fees of CAD\$37,440 were paid in cash.

The Company issued 45,000 common shares for property acquisitions (Notes 6(d)(iii) and (v)).

(b) Stock option plan:

The Company has a stock option plan that allows it to grant options to its employees, directors and consultants to acquire up to 18,374,095 common shares, of which options for 8,665,000 common shares are outstanding as at December 31, 2009. The exercise price of each option cannot be lower than the last recorded sale of a board lot on the Toronto Stock Exchange during the trading day immediately preceding the date of granting or, if there was no such date, the high/low average price for the common shares on the Toronto Stock Exchange based on the last five trading days before the date of the grant. Options have a maximum term of ten years and terminate 30 days following the termination of the optionee's employment, except in the case of death, in which case they terminate one year after the event. Vesting of options is made at the discretion of the Board at the time the options are granted. At the discretion of the Board, certain option grants provide the holder the right to receive the number of common shares, valued at the quoted market price at the time of exercise of the stock options, that represent the share appreciation since granting the options.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

9. Share Capital (continued)

(b) Stock option plan: (continued)

The continuity of stock options for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

	2009		2008		2007	
		Weighted average exercise		Weighted average exercise		Weighted average exercise
	Number of Shares	price (CAD\$)	Number of Shares	price (CAD\$)	Number of Shares	price (CAD\$)
Outstanding, beginning of year	8,079,000	\$0.48	7,074,000	\$0.54	7,929,000	\$0.54
Granted	1,680,000	\$0.11	1,800,000	\$0.29	2,190,000	\$0.54
Exercised	-	-	-	-	(830,000)	\$0.44
Converted to stock appreciation						
rights on exercise	-	-	-	-	(410,000)	\$0.37
Forfeited	(60,000)	\$0.38	(75,000)	\$0.53	(760,000)	\$0.58
Expired	(1,034,000)	\$0.73	(720,000)	\$0.55	(1,045,000)	\$0.71
Outstanding, end of year	8,665,000	\$0.38	8,079,000	\$0.48	7,074,000	\$0.54
Exercise price range (CAD\$)	\$0.11 - \$0.74		\$0.25 - \$1.00		\$0.25 - \$1.00	

The following table summarizes information about stock options exercisable and outstanding at December 31, 2009:

		Options Outstanding		Options I	Exercisable
		Weighted	Weighted		Weighted
		Average	Average		Average
Price	Number	Remaining	Exercise	Number	Exercise
Intervals	Outstanding at	Contractual Life	Prices	Exercisable at	Prices
(CAD\$)	Dec 31, 2009	(Number of Years)	(CAD\$)	Dec 31, 2009	(CAD\$)
\$0.11	1,680,000	4.6	\$0.11	336,000	\$0.11
\$0.25 - \$0.49	4,375,000	2.5	\$0.33	4,022,000	\$0.33
\$0.50 - \$0.74	2,610,000	2.0	\$0.63	2,610,000	\$0.63
	8,665,000	2.7	\$0.38	6,968,000	\$0.44

At December 31, 2009, 8,665,000 options are outstanding of which 6,968,000 options are exercisable and expire at various dates from June 23, 2010 to July 15, 2014, with a weighted average remaining life of 2.7 years.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

9. Share Capital (continued)

(b) Stock option plan: (continued)

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company recognized stock-based compensation of \$117,570 (2008 - \$203,000 and 2007 - \$405,115) based on the fair value of options granted that were earned by the provision of services during the year, and recognized share appreciation rights of \$Nil (\$Nil – 2008 and 2007 - \$37,181). Stock-based compensation is segregated between directors and employees as follows:

	2	2009	2	2008	2	2007
Directors Employees	\$	59 58	\$	79 124	\$	40 365
-	\$	117	\$	203	\$	405

For the options granted in June 2007, options for 500,000 common shares with an exercise price of CAD\$0.54 and an expiry date of June 15, 2012 have vesting provisions in which options for 250,000 common shares vested on June 15, 2008 and the balance of 250,000 vested on June 15, 2009.

Options for 1.8 million common shares, which were granted in May 2008, are subject to a vesting provision in which 20% of the options vest immediately and 20% vest every six months.

Options for 1.68 million common shares, which were granted in July 2009, are subject to a vesting provision in which 20% of the options vest immediately and 20% vest every six months.

The fair value of stock options granted and the assumptions used to calculate compensation expense are estimated using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
Fair value of options granted during the year	\$0.09	\$0.21	\$0.18
Risk-free interest rate	2.41%	2.59%	3.31%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	0%
Expected stock price volatility	79%	57%	54%
Expected option life in years	4	4	4

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

9. Share Capital (continued)

(c) Warrants:

At December 31, 2009, the Company had outstanding warrants as follows:

Prices		Oustanding at				Oustanding at
(CAD\$)	Expiry Dates	December 31, 2008	Issued	Exercised	Expired	December 31, 2009
\$0.15	June 1, 2010	500,000	-	-	-	500,00
\$0.15	April 22, 2011	-	39,410	-	-	39,41
\$0.15	October 22, 2011	-	202,160	-	-	202,16
\$0.15	April 22, 2011	-	2,568,140	-	-	2,568,14
\$0.165	May 9, 2011	-	154,410	-	-	154,41
		500.000	2,964,120	_	_	3,464,12

At December 31, 2008, the Company had outstanding warrants as follows:

Exercise Prices		Oustanding at				Oustanding at
(CAD\$)	Expiry Dates	December 31, 2007	Issued	Exercised	Expired	December 31, 2008
\$0.65	July 24, 2008	1,100,000	_	-	(1,100,000)	-
\$0.15	June 1, 2010	-	500,000	-	-	500,000
		1,100,000	500,000	-	(1,100,000)	500,000

At December 31, 2007, the Company had outstanding warrants as follows:

Exercise Prices		Oustanding at				Oustanding at
(CAD\$)	Expiry Dates	December 31, 2006	Issued	Exercised	Expired	December 31, 2007
\$0.82	March 17, 2007	231,000	-	-	(231,000)	-
\$1.25	October 18, 2007	2,150,000	-	_	(2,150,000)	-
\$0.82	October 18, 2007	247,800	-	-	(247,800)	-
\$0.95	October 18, 2007	350,000	-	-	(350,000)	-
\$0.65	July 24, 2008	-	1,100,000	-	-	1,100,000
		2,978,800	1,100,000	-	(2,978,800)	1,100,000

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

9. Share Capital (continued)

(c) Warrants: (continued)

The fair value of the 411,670 finders' fee warrants which were issued pursuant to the private placements in the amount of \$19,969 (2008 - \$Nil) and included as a reduction to share capital was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

_	2009
Risk-free interest rate	1.37%
Expected dividend yield	0%
Expected stock price volatility	103.33%
Expected option life in years	2

(d) Fully diluted number of common shares:

	Number of Shares
0.44 11 D 1 21 2000	91.000.055
Outstanding, December 31, 2009	81,969,655
Property agreements (Note 6(e))	150,000
Stock options (Note 9(b))	8,665,000
Warrants (Note 9(c))	3,464,120
Fully diluted, December 31, 2009	94,248,775

(e) Shareholder rights plan:

On May 31, 2005, the shareholders of the Company approved a shareholder rights plan (the "Plan") that became effective on April 30, 2005. The Plan is intended to ensure that any entity seeking to acquire control of the Company makes an offer that represents fair value to all shareholders and provides the board of directors with sufficient time to assess and evaluate the offer, to permit competing bids to emerge, and, as appropriate, to explore and develop alternatives to maximize value for shareholders. Under the Plan, each shareholder at the time of the Plan's adoption was issued one Right for each common share of the Company held. Each Right entitles the registered holder thereof, except for certain "Acquiring Persons" (as defined in the Plan), to purchase from treasury one common share at a 50% discount to the prevailing market price, subject to certain adjustments intended to prevent dilution. The Rights are exercisable after the occurrence of specified events set out in the Plan generally related to when a person, together with affiliated or associated persons, acquires, or makes a take-over bid to acquire, beneficial ownership of 20% or more of the outstanding common shares of the Company. The Rights expire on April 30, 2015.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

10. Notes Payable

In December 2007, the Company's previous wholly-owned subsidiary, Caza, received proceeds of CAD\$300,000 in demand loans of which CAD\$180,000 were from directors and officers of the Company. The loans were repayable on demand and had an interest rate of 9% per annum. In June 2008, the Company closed a Plan of Arrangement with Caza in which Caza was no longer a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company (Note 5).

In May 2009, the Company received CAD\$62,030 in demand loans from certain directors and an officer of the Company. The loans are repayable on demand and bear an interest rate of 9% per annum and are secured by the Company's shareholdings in Caza at CAD\$0.25 per share of Caza. As at December 31, 2009, interest of CAD\$3,719 has been accrued.

11. Related Party Transactions

General and administrative costs during 2009 include:

- CAD\$57,586 (2008 CAD\$52,561 and 2007 CAD\$120,987) of salaries to an employee who is a director:
- CAD\$40,000 (2008 CAD\$36,659 and 2007 CAD\$35,604) to directors in their capacity as directors of the Company. As at December 31, 2009, the Company accrued CAD\$68,659 (2008 CAD\$28,659) in directors fees;
- CAD\$102,608 (2008 CAD\$67,217 and 2007 CAD\$106,316) in legal fees to a law firm in which a senior officer of the Company is a partner. As at December 31, 2009, the Company owed CAD\$114,883 (2008 CAD\$32,859) to the law firm;
- CAD\$262,322 (2008 CAD\$272,572 and 2007 CAD\$32,005) in office rent and salary allocations recovered from companies sharing certain common directors. As at December 31, 2009, the Company was owed CAD\$56,169 (2008 CAD\$22,778) from such companies; and
- CAD\$79,827 (2008 CAD\$119,976 and 2007 CAD\$35,273) in office rent and salary allocations incurred to a company sharing certain common directors. As at December 31, 2009, the Company owed CAD\$46,191 (2008 CAD\$1,435) to the company.

The above transactions were incurred in the normal course of business and are recorded at the exchange amount, being the amount agreed upon by the related parties.

Details of transactions with Aztec and Caza are provided in Note 8, notes payables in Note 10, and the Plan of Arrangement in Note 5.

12. Segment Disclosures

The Company has one operating segment, being mineral exploration, and all assets of the Company are located in Canada.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

13. Contingent Liability

Pursuant to an audit by CRA in 2009, the Company has estimated approximately \$661,700 in exploration expenditures incurred in 2007 do not qualify as CEE for flow-through purposes related to a flow through private placement which closed in October 2006. Consequently the Company has recognized a flow through financing cost of \$489,000.

In February 2010, an initial proposal by CRA to the Company disallowed approximately CAD\$1.2 million in CEE of which the Company is currently estimating approximately CAD\$545,000 as being qualified for CEE for flow-through purposes. The initial proposal by CRA would have resulted in a liability to the Company of up to CAD\$886,800.

The flow-through financing cost of \$489,000 has been reduced by the BC Mineral Exploration tax credits of approximately \$113,000 for expenditures incurred in 2005 and 2006, as reviewed by CRA, and GST receivable of approximately \$47,000, resulting in an estimated income tax balance payable of \$329,000.

14. Income Taxes

The reconciliation of the income tax provision computed at statutory rates to the reported income tax provision is as follows:

	2009		2008	2	2007
Canadian statutory tax rates	30.009	ó	31.50%		34.12%
Expected recovery	\$ (406) \$	(2,194)	\$	(179)
Temporary differences	(69)	_		-
Permanent differences	35		64		(58)
Benefit of tax attributes and other items	(534)	215		845
Write-off of mineral properties	-		1,977		-
Change in valuation allowance	1,005		(72)		(2,647)
Effect of change in tax rate	195		10		-
Future income tax expense (recovery)	\$ 226	\$	-	\$	(2,039)

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(tabular dollar amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

14. Income Taxes (continued)

The significant components of the Company's future income tax assets as at December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	2	2009		800
Future income tax assets:				
Resource properties	\$	(259)	\$	(653)
Equipment		380		259
Share issue costs		53		55
Non-capital losses		1,628		1,128
Capital losses		12		21
Total future income tax assets		1,814		810
Valuation allowance		(1,814)		(810)
Future income tax assets, net	\$	-	\$	-

At December 31, 2009, the Company has non-capital losses for Canadian tax purposes of approximately \$6.5 million, and Canadian capital losses of approximately \$92,000 which are without expiry. The non-capital losses expire as follows:

2010	\$ 888
2014	683
2015	83
2026	743
2027	1,774
2028	749
2029	1,591
	\$ 6,511

Funds raised through the issuance of flow through shares are required to be expended on qualified Canadian mineral exploration expenditures as defined pursuant to Canadian income tax legislation. As at December 31, 2009, the amount of flow through proceeds to be expended in 2010 is CAD\$4,761 (2008 - \$Nil).

HEAD OFFICE #301 – 700 West Pender Street

Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6C 1G8

Telephone: (604) 685-9700 Facsimile: (604) 685-9744

Website: www.canarc.net

DIRECTORS Bradford Cooke

Bruce Bried Derek Bullock Leonard Harris William Price

OFFICERS Bradford Cooke ~ Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Garry Biles ~ President and Chief Operating Officer

James Moors ~ Vice-President, Exploration

Philip Yee ~ Chief Financial Officer Stewart Lockwood ~ Secretary

REGISTRAR AND Computershare Investor Services Inc.

TRANSFER AGENT 3rd Floor, 510 Burrard Street

Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6C 3B9

AUDITORS Smythe Ratcliffe LLP

7th Floor, 355 Burrard Street

Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6C 2G8

SOLICITORS Vector Corporate Finance Lawyers

#1040 – 999 West Hastings Street Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6C 2W2

SHARES LISTED Trading Symbols

TSX: CCM
OTC-BB: CRCUF
DBFrankfurt: CAN



Management Discussion and Analysis

(expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

Year ended December 31, 2009

(the "Company")

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009

CAUTION – FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained herein regarding the Company and its operations constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements that are not historical facts, including without limitation statements regarding future estimates, plans, objectives, assumptions or expectations of future performance, are "forward-looking statements". We caution you that such "forward looking statements" involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results and future events to differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Such risks and uncertainties include fluctuations in precious metal prices, unpredictable results of exploration activities, uncertainties inherent in the estimation of mineral reserves and resources, fluctuations in the costs of goods and services, problems associated with exploration and mining operations, changes in legal, social or political conditions in the jurisdictions where the Company operates, lack of appropriate funding and other risk factors, as discussed in the Company's filings with Canadian and American Securities regulatory agencies. The Company expressly disclaims any obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

1.0 Preliminary Information

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") of Canarc Resource Corp. (the "Company") should be read in conjunction with the accompanying audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009 and the audited consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, all of which are available at the SEDAR website at www.sedar.com.

All financial information in this MD&A is prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("Canadian GAAP"), and all dollar amounts are expressed in United States dollars unless otherwise indicated.

All information contained in the MD&A is as of March 24, 2010 unless otherwise indicated.

1.1 Background

The Company was incorporated under the laws of British Columbia, and was previously engaged in the acquisition, exploration, development and exploitation of precious metal properties in Canada, Costa Rica, Mexico and Suriname. The Company currently only owns a direct interest in the precious metal property, known as the New Polaris property in British Columbia, Canada, and an option to purchase the Tay-LP property in the Yukon, Canada.

The Company owns a 100% interest in the New Polaris property, located in the Atlin Mining Division, British Columbia, which is subject to a 15% net profit interest and may be reduced to a 10% net profit interest within one year of commercial production by issuing 150,000 common shares to Rembrandt Gold Mines Ltd.

On August 24, 2009, the Company entered into an option agreement to acquire a 100% interest in the Tay-LP gold property by paying CAD\$1 million in cash and/or shares and spending CAD\$1.5 million on exploration over a three-year period which can occur in two stages. In the first stage, the Company can earn a 51% interest by paying CAD\$150,000 in cash and spending CAD\$900,000 on exploration over a two-year period. In the second stage, the Company can earn an additional 49%, thereby totalling 100% interest, by paying CAD\$850,000 in cash or shares at the Company's discretion and spending CAD\$600,000 on exploration by the third year. If the Company does not proceed with the second stage, then a joint venture would be formed. The Company shall pay to the optionors a gold bonus equal to CAD\$1 per ounce of gold for all proven and probable gold reserves and measured and indicated gold resources to a maximum of 1 million oz gold. The option agreement is subject to net smelter returns totalling 3% which can be reduced to 1.5% by payments totalling US\$1.95 million. Commencing on or before October 31, 2009 and continuing on or before October 31 of each subsequent year until the property is put into commercial production, the Company shall pay to the NSR holders annual advance NSR royalty payments totalling CAD\$25,000 or that number of common shares of the Company and which shall be deducted from NSR obligations. The NSR of 3% shall be subject to maximum total payments based on one million payable ounces of gold being mined by commercial production but will be reduced to 500,000 payable ounces of gold if the NSR was reduced to 1.5%.

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

The Company made a cash payment of CAD\$20,000 in August 2009. Then on November 4, 2009, the Company issued 160,250 shares at a value of CAD\$0.156 per share as advance NSR royalty for the Tay-LP property interest.

The Company previously held a 5.7% to 20.2% net profit interest in the Bellavista property, located near Miramar, Costa Rica. Central Sun Mining Inc. (formerly, Glencairn Gold Corporation) ("Central Sun") owns a 100% working interest in the property, which was an open pit, heap leach, gold mine and which achieved commercial production in December 2005. In July 2007, Central Sun suspended mining operations due to ground movement and heavy rainfall causing surface erosion and deep seated ground creep in some areas of the mine site. The Company had a net profit interest in Bellavista which entitled the Company to 5.67% of the net profits during the first payback period, increasing to 10.40% during the second payback period and then to 20.24% of net profits thereafter. Thirty-five percent of this net profit interest will reduce the net profit interest to be received from Central Sun until \$317,741 in advance royalty payments were repaid. In July 2008, the Company entered into a purchase and sale agreement for the sale of all its 78.5% interest in the subsidiary which holds the net profit interest in the Bellavista property, for CAD\$215,000 which closed in December 2008.

Previously, the Company held 80% of the shares of Sara Kreek Resource Corporation N.V. ("Sara Kreek Resource"), the company that holds the Sara Kreek concession in the Republic of Suriname. On April 15, 2006, the Company entered into a Settlement and Termination Agreement with Suriname Wylap Development N.V. ("Wylap Development") to transfer the Company's interest in Sara Kreek Resource. In settlement for all claims, loans and advances owed to the Company, the Company received a cash payment of \$400,000 in 2006, and will receive the greater of \$50,000 per year, payable semi-annually, or a 1.5% royalty on annual gross production from the Sara Kreek property until December 31, 2011. The Company has received \$50,000 in annual royalties.

In April 1996, the Company entered into an option agreement with Grasshopper Aluminum Company N.V. ("Grassalco") to earn up to an 80% interest in the Benzdorp property located in the Republic of Suriname by making cumulative cash payments of \$750,000 and property expenditures totalling \$5 million over a four-year period. In August 2002, the Company amended its option agreement. Cash payments prior to commercial production were reduced to \$300,000 and the period to incur exploration expenditures totalling \$5,000,000 was extended to April 2005 which was then extended to December 2005 pursuant to amendments in April 2005, subject to a payment of \$40,000 which was paid in April 2005. Also, the Company will owe Grassalco an additional \$250,000 payable on or before 30 days after the commencement of commercial production if a feasibility study has not been completed by October 6, 2005. Each year thereafter, the Company will owe an additional \$250,000 payable on or before 30 days after the commencement of commercial production. However, if a feasibility study has not been completed by October 6, 2008, then the annual additional cash payments of \$250,000 will increase at that time to \$500,000. These additional cash payments shall be treated as advance payments against Grassalco's shareholder ownership interest and shall be deductible from Grassalco's net profit share or net smelter profit from exploiting the deposits. The Company has not completed a feasibility study. In fiscal 2004, the Company had earned a 40% interest in the Benzdorp property, and the Company can exercise its right to increase its interest to 80%. In February 2004, the Company and Grassalco incorporated a company in Suriname and transferred the Benzdorp concessions to it, on behalf of the Company (40%) and Grassalco (60%). In June 2007, Benzdorp Gold NV, the joint venture company which is held by the Company and Grassalco, had applied for an extension to the concessions at Benzdorp prior to their expiry in July 2007. Benzdorp Gold NV was finally advised in August 2008 that an extension would not be granted but an application for new concessions would be considered so Benzdorp Gold NV applied for one new exploration concession in September 2008. The Company had ceased all exploration work on the concessions in August 2007, and elected to write-off its investment in the Benzdorp property at December 31, 2008.

In February 2007, the Company entered into an option agreement to acquire a 100% interest in the Los Arrastres gold/silver property by making \$2.5 million in cash payments and spending \$2 million on exploration over a 3 year period. The vendor retained a 2% net smelter return royalty ("NSR") and the Company had the right to reduce the NSR to 1% by paying \$1 million at any time. An initial payment of \$50,000 was made upon the signing of the option agreement and a further payment of \$75,000 was made in August 2007. A cash payment of \$25,000 was made in February 2008. Pursuant to the Plan of Arrangement which closed in June 2008, the property was transferred to Caza Gold Corp. ("Caza"), which was previously a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company prior to the Plan of Arrangement.

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

In March 2007, the Company entered into a preliminary option agreement to acquire a 100% interest in the Providencia gold/silver properties by issuing 30,000 common shares to the vendors on signing a formal agreement within 30 days and making \$2 million in cash payments over a 2½ year period, including \$30,000 on signing. The vendors retained a 2½ % NSR, and the Company had the right to reduce the royalty to 1½ % at any time by paying \$750,000 and issuing an option to the vendors to purchase 250,000 common shares of the Company at the five day closing share price average on the Toronto Stock Exchange prior to the royalty reduction. In April 2008, the Company terminated its efforts to enter into a formal agreement, and the Company wrote-off related exploration expenditures in the first quarter of 2008, and the 30,000 shares which were originally issued were returned to treasury and cancelled.

In May 2007, the Company entered into an option agreement to acquire a 100% interest in the Santiago gold property by making \$2 million in cash payments over a 5 year period and spending \$200,000 on exploration over a 2 year period. The vendor retained a 2% NSR. An initial payment of \$30,000 was made upon the signing of the option agreement and a further payment of \$30,000 was made in November 2007. In May 2008, the Company made a cash payment of \$60,000. Pursuant to the Plan of Arrangement which closed in June 2008, the property was transferred to Caza.

In September 2007, the Company entered into an option and joint venture agreement to acquire up to a 75% interest in the Santiago Fraction property by issuing 15,000 common shares, paying \$25,000 in cash after 1 year, and spending up to \$1 million in exploration over a 5-year period. In 2007, the Company issued 15,000 common shares at a value of CAD\$0.45 per share. Pursuant to the Plan of Arrangement which closed in June 2008, the property was transferred to Caza.

In April 2008, Caza and Minera Canarc de Mexico SA de CV ("Minera Canarc"), which were previously wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company prior to the Plan of Arrangement, entered into an option agreement to acquire a 100% interest in the La Escondida/Los Angeles properties by making US\$1 million in cash payments over a 4 year period and issuing \$50,000 in shares of the Company over a 12 month period. The vendors retained a 3% NSR. An initial payment of US\$15,000 was made upon the signing of the option agreement. Pursuant to the Plan of Arrangement which closed in June 2008, Caza and Minera Canarc were thereafter no longer subsidiaries of the Company.

1.2 Overall Performance

As the Company is focused on its mineral exploration activities, there is no mineral production, sales or inventory in the conventional sense. The recoverability of amounts capitalized for mineral properties is dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable reserves in its mineral properties, the ability of the Company to arrange appropriate financing and receive necessary permitting for the exploration and development of its properties, confirmation of the Company's interest in certain properties, and upon future profitable production or proceeds from the disposition thereof. Such exploration and development activities normally take years to complete and the amount of resulting income, if any, is difficult to determine with any certainty at this time. Many of the key factors are outside of the Company's control. As the carrying value and amortization of mineral properties and capital assets are, in part, related to the Company's mineral reserves and resources, if any, the estimation of such reserves and resources is significant to the Company's financial position and results of operations.

Gold prices continued to show strength as the cumulative annual average increased from \$695 in 2007 to \$872 in 2008 and then to \$972 in 2009 and closing at \$1,091 on March 24, 2010. Gold prices achieved new highs in each of the past several years. In November 2007, prices reached a high of \$841 and then \$1,011 in March 2008 before reaching a high of \$1,213 in December 2009. The high for 2010 was on January 11, 2010 at \$1,153.

New Polaris property

In 2007, the Company retained Moose Mountain Technical Services and Giroux Consultants Limited to update resource estimates for the New Polaris gold project. Their technical report entitled "Resource Potential, New Polaris Project" (the "New Polaris Report") was authored by R.J. Morris, MSc, PGeo, and G.H. Giroux, MASc, PEng, respectively, who are independent Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101, dated March 14, 2007, and was prepared in compliance with NI 43-101, to the best of the Company's knowledge. The New Polaris Report is available at www.sedar.com.

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

Based upon the New Polaris Report, measured and indicated undiluted resources range from 570,000 to 457,000 oz of gold contained in 1,670,000 to 1,009,000 tonnes (1,840,861 to 1,112,233 tons) of mineralized vein material grading 10.6 to 14.1 grams per tonne (0.31 to 0.41 oz per ton) using a range of cutoff grades from 2 to 8 gpt (0.06 to 0.23 opt). Greater than 95% of the measured and indicated resources are located within the C vein system where infill drilling programs were conducted over the past three years.

Inferred undiluted resources range from 697,000 to 571,000 oz of gold contained in 2,060,000 to 1,340,000 tonnes (2,270,763 to 1,477,098 tons) of mineralized vein material grading 10.5 to 13.3 grams per tonne (0.31 to 0.39 oz per ton) using a range of cutoff grades from 2 to 8 gpt (0.06 to 0.23 opt). Approximately 75% of the inferred resources are also located within the C vein system, with the remainder attributable to the Y19 and Y20 veins.

MEASURED UNDILUTED RESOURCE

Cutoff Grade		Mineralize	d Tonnage	Average	e Grade	Contained Gold		
(g/tonne)	(oz/ton)*	(tonnes)	(tons)	(g/tonne)	(oz/ton)	Au (oz)		
2	0.058	390,000	429,902	9.48	0.277	119,000		
4	0.117	330,000	363,763	10.62	0.310	113,000		
6	0.175	271,000	298,727	11.89	0.347	104,000		
8	0.233	203,000	223,769	13.54	0.395	88,000		

INDICATED UNDILUTED RESOURCE

Cutoff Grade		Mineralize	d Tonnage	Average	Grade	Contained Gold		
(g/tonne)	<u>(oz/ton)*</u>	(tonnes)	(tons)	(g/tonne)	(oz/ton)	Au (oz)		
2	0.058	1,280,000	1,410,960	10.97	0.320	451,000		
4	0.117	1,180,000	1,300,728	11.65	0.340	442,000		
6	0.175	1,017,000	1,121,052	12.71	0.371	416,000		
8	0.233	806,000	888,464	14.22	0.415	368,000		

MEASURED PLUS INDICATED UNDILUTED RESOURCE

Cutoff	Grade	Mineralize	d Tonnage	Average	Grade	Contained Gold
(g/tonne)	(oz/ton)*	(tonnes)	(tons)	(g/tonne)	(oz/ton)	Au (oz)
_						
2	0.058	1,670,000	1,840,861	10.62	0.310	570,000
4	0.117	1,510,000	1,664,491	11.42	0.333	555,000
6	0.175	1,288,000	1,419,778	12.54	0.366	519,000
8	0.233	1,009,000	1,112,233	14.08	0.411	457,000

INFERRED UNDILUTED RESOURCE

Cutoff	Grade	Mineralized Tonnage		Average	Grade	Contained Gold
(g/tonne)	<u>(oz/ton)*</u>	(tonnes)	(tons)	(g/tonne)	(oz/ton)	Au (oz)
2	0.058	2,060,000	2,270,763	10.5	0.307	697,000
4	0.117	1,925,000	2,121,951	11.0	0.322	683,000
6	0.175	1,628,000	1,794,564	12.2	0.354	636,000

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

8 0.233 1,340,000 1,477,098 13.3 0.387 571,000

The resource estimate uses ordinary kriging of 192 recent drill holes and 1,432 gold assay intervals constrained within 4 main vein segments as modelled in three dimensions by the Company's geologists. The total New Polaris database consists of 1,056 diamond drill holes with a total of 31,514 sample intervals. For this study, the classification for each resource block was a function of the semivariogram range. In general, blocks estimated using ½ of the semivariogram range were classed as measured, blocks estimated using ½ the semivariogram range were classed as indicated and all other blocks estimated using the full semivariogram range were classed as inferred. A review of gold grade distribution outlined 6 overlapping lognormal gold populations within the resource database. On this basis, a total of 10 gold assays were capped at 63 g/t.

In the third quarter of 2007, the Company completed a preliminary assessment of building an 80,000 oz per year gold mine at the New Polaris property. Moose Mountain Technical Services ("Moose Mountain") was commissioned as independent consultants to work with the Company's personnel in developing the conceptual mine plan and mining capital and operating costs; Jasman Yee and Associates Inc. for the metallurgical testwork, process design, mill capital and operating costs; and Beacon Hill Consultants (1988) Ltd. for the financial analysis. All three consultants contributed to the preliminary assessment for an 80,000 oz per year, high grade, underground gold mine at New Polaris. Their report was entitled "New Polaris Project - Preliminary Assessment" dated October 4, 2007 ("Moose Mountain Report"). J.H. (Jim) Gray, P.Eng. of Moose Mountain was the Qualified Person for the Preliminary Assessment Report. In 2008, the Company continued with its efforts to refine and assess the process alternatives and economic parameters used in the preliminary assessment. In early January 2009, the Company completed a revised preliminary assessment from recent optimization efforts which improved the project's economics and which was issued in a News Release dated January 7, 2009. The revised economic model had been reviewed by Moose Mountain and the independent Qualified Person for the update was Jim Gray, P. Eng. In November, 2009, the British Columbia Securities Commission ("BCSC") notified the Company that their review of both the 2007 Moose Mountain Report and the Company's news release dated January 7, 2009 identified issues of non-compliance with NI 43-101. An updated NI 43-101 preliminary economic assessment report dated December 23, 2009 by Moose Mountain ("Moose Mountain Revised Report") was prepared at the request of the BCSC.

The base case mine model in the Moose Mountain Revised Report is summarized below:

Scheduled Resources	806,000 tonnes measured and indicated grading 13.2 gpt Au (after dilution) and 944,000 tonnes inferred grading 11.9 gpt Au (after dilution) and a 9 gpt cutoff
Production Rate	600 tonnes per day
Grade	12.5 grams per tonne (diluted 20%)
Recoveries	91% gold into concentrate
Output	80,000 oz gold per year
Mine life	8 years

The updated preliminary economic parameters are as follows:

Gold Price	US\$ 900 per oz	
Exchange Rate	US\$ $0.95 = CA$ \$ 1.00	
Capital Cost	CA\$90.5 million	
Cash Cost	US\$ 383 per oz (excluding off	-sites)
	Pre-Tax	After-Tax
Cash Flow (LoM)	CA \$153.6 million	CA\$103.6 million
NPV (5%)	CA\$104.9 million	CA\$ 68.6 million
NPV (8%)	CA\$ 83.2 million	CA\$ 52.9 million
NPV (10%)	CA\$ 71.0 million	CA\$ 44.1 million

^{*} ton equals short dry ton

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

	<u>Pre-Tax</u>	After Tax	
Internal Rate of Return	32.0%	25.8%	
Payback Period	2.6 years	2.7 years	

This preliminary economic assessment is based on resources, not reserves, and a portion of the modeled resources in the mine plan are in the inferred resource category. Given the inherent uncertainties of resources, especially inferred resources compared to reserves, the New Polaris gold mine project cannot yet be considered to have proven economic viability. However, the mine plan only takes into account approximately 75% of the total estimated resources at a 9 gpt cut-off grade.

The net present values are life of mine net cash flows shown at various discount rates. The internal rates of return assume 100% equity financing. Cash costs include all site-related costs to produce a gold-sulphide concentrate but offsite costs for concentrate transportation and processing were treated as deductions against sales. The preferred processing alternative entails reducing the ore to a bulk gold-sulphide concentrate and shipping the concentrate to existing autoclave facilities in Nevada for the production of dore gold bars.

The project economics are most sensitive to variations in the gold price and least sensitive to changes in capital and operating costs, as shown by the following sensitivity analysis:

New Polaris AFTER-TAX CASH FLOW SENSITIVITY ANAL								
Description of Sensitivity	NPV (5%)	NPV (8%)	NPV (10%)					
	CAD (000)	CAD (000)	CAD (000)					
Gold \$US700/oz -22%	\$ 6,898	(\$ 1,333)	(\$ 5,883)					
Gold \$US800/oz -11%	\$ 37,961	\$ 26,072	\$ 19,431					
Base Case \$US900/oz	\$ 68,625	\$ 52,934	\$ 44,132					
Gold \$US1000/oz +11%	\$ 99,136	\$ 79,582	\$ 68,590					
Gold \$US1100/oz +22%	\$ 129,516	\$ 106,044	\$ 92,831					
Grade -10%	\$ 41,041	\$ 28,778	\$ 21,924					
Grade -5%	\$ 54,895	\$ 40,942	\$ 33,126					
Base Case Grade 12.5 gpt	\$ 68,625	\$ 52,934	\$ 44,132					
Grade +5%	\$ 82,355	\$ 64,925	\$ 55,138					
Grade +10%	\$ 96,085	\$ 76,917	\$ 66,144					
Capital Cost -10%	\$ 73,625	\$ 57,917	\$ 49,093					
Capital Cost -5%	\$ 71,125	\$ 55,425	\$ 46,613					
Base Case \$90M Capital	\$ 68,625	\$ 52,934	\$ 44,132					
Capital Cost +5%	\$ 66,125	\$ 50,442	\$ 41,652					
Capital Cost +10%	\$ 63,625	\$ 47,951	\$ 39,172					
Operating Cost -10%	\$ 80,415	\$ 63,261	\$ 53,360					
Operating Cost -5%	\$ 74,520	\$ 58,097	\$ 48,881					
Base Case	\$ 68,625	\$ 52,934	\$ 44,132					
Operating Cost +5%	\$ 62,730	\$ 47,770	\$ 39,383					
Operating Cost +10%	\$ 56,835	\$ 42,606	\$ 34,634					

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

Exchange rate \$0.85 -10%	\$ 97,327	\$ 78,013	\$ 67,156
Exchange rate \$0.90 -5%	\$ 82,184	\$ 64,781	\$ 55,009
Base Case \$0.95	\$ 68,625	\$ 52,934	\$ 44,132
Exchange rate \$1.00 +5%	\$ 56,414	\$ 42,264	\$ 34,337
Exchange rate \$1.05 +10%	\$ 45,276	\$ 32,488	\$ 25,336

The Qualified Person ("QP") pursuant to NI 43-101 for the updated preliminary economic assessment report is Jim Gray, P. Eng.

Tay-LP property

On August 24, 2009, the Company entered into an option agreement to acquire a 100% interest in the Tay-LP gold property by paying CAD\$1 million in cash and/or shares and spending CAD\$1.5 million on exploration over a three-year period which can occur in two stages. The Company made an option payment of CAD\$20,000 in August 2009. On November 4, 2009, the Company issued 160,250 shares at a value of CAD\$0.156 per share as the annual advance NSR royalty of CAD\$25,000 for the Tay-LP property.

The Company completed a Phase 1 exploration program for 10 holes including 2,000 m of diamond drilling in the third and fourth quarters of 2009. The objective of the program was to extend known mineralization along strike and down-dip of existing gold intercepts in three principle target areas.

Benzdorp property

In June 2007, Benzdorp Gold NV, the joint venture company held by the Company and Grassalco, had applied for an extension to the concessions at Benzdorp prior to their expiry in July 2007. Benzdorp Gold NV was finally advised in August 2008 that an extension would not be granted but an application for new concessions would be considered so Benzdorp Gold NV applied for one new exploration concession in September 2008. The Company had ceased all exploration work on the concessions in August 2007, and elected to write-off its investment in the Benzdorp property at December 31, 2008 while it awaited approval of the concession application.

Bellavista property

The Company held a 5.7% to 20.2% net profit interest in the Bellavista property located in Costa Rica, which was an open pit, heap leach, gold mine. Central Sun, owner and operator of the Bellavista mine in Costa Rica, declared commercial production in December 2005. In July 2007, Central Sun suspended mining operations due to ground movement and heavy rainfall causing surface erosion and deep seated ground creep in some areas of the mine site.

In fiscal 2008, the Company entered into a purchase and sale agreement for the sale of all its 78.5% interest in the subsidiary which holds the net profit interest in the Bellavista property for CAD\$215,000.

Sara Kreek property

In April 2006, the Company agreed to transfer all its interest in Sara Kreek Resource to Wylap Development in exchange for a cash payment of \$400,000 and the greater of \$50,000 per year or 1.5% royalty on annual gross production until December 31, 2011 in settlement of any claims, loans and advances owed to the Company. The Company has received \$50,000 in annual royalties.

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

Plan of Arrangement

On June 25, 2008, the Company proceeded to close the Plan of Arrangement (the "Arrangement") with Caza whereby approximately 83% of the Company's interest in Caza was distributed to the shareholders of the Company. Under the Arrangement, the Company transferred all its interest in its wholly-owned Mexican subsidiary, Minera Canarc, which holds all the rights to the Mexican gold exploration properties to Caza in return for 14,346,527 shares of Caza, of which the Company distributed 11,950,577 Caza shares by way of a dividend in kind to the Company's shareholders on the basis of one share of Caza for every six shares of the Company held by shareholders as of the dividend record date. The property rights which were transferred from the Company to Caza included Los Arrastres, Santiago and Santiago Fraction properties.

Other Matters

In May 2009, the Company received CAD\$62,030 in demand loans from certain directors and an officer of the Company. The loans are repayable on demand and bear an interest rate of 9% per annum and are secured by the Company's shareholdings in Caza at CAD\$0.25 per share. As at December 31, 2009, interest of CAD\$3,719 has been accrued.

On July 15, 2009, the Company granted 1,680,000 stock options with an exercise price of CAD\$0.11 and an expiry date of July 15, 2014 and which are subject to a vesting provision in which 20% of the options vest immediately and 20% vest every six months.

On October 22, 2009, the Company closed two private placements. One private placement was for 4,000,000 flow through shares at CAD\$0.12 per share for gross proceeds of CAD\$480,000. Finders fees were comprised of CAD\$25,523 in cash and 241,570 warrants, of which 39,410 warrants have an exercise price of CAD\$0.15 and an expiry date of April 22, 2011 and the remaining 202,160 warrants have an exercise price of CAD\$0.15 and an expiry date of October 22, 2011.

The second private placement was for 4,800,000 units at CAD\$0.10 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$480,000. Each unit was comprised of one common share and one-half of a share purchase warrant; each whole share purchase warrant was exercisable to acquire one common share at CAD\$0.15 until April 22, 2011. Finders fees were comprised of CAD\$18,011 in cash and 168,140 warrants which have the same terms as the warrants in the private placement for units.

On November 9, 2009, the Company closed a private placement for 304,900 units at CAD\$0.1225 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$37,350. Each unit was comprised of one common share and one-half of a share purchase warrant; each whole share purchase warrant is exercisable to acquire one common share at CAD\$0.165 until May 9, 2011. Finders fees were comprised of CAD\$240 in cash and 1,960 warrants which have the same terms as the warrants in the private placement for units.

The Shareholders Update included in the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009 provides further review of the Company's overall performance for fiscal 2009 and an outlook for fiscal 2010.

1.3 Selected Annual Information

All financial information is prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAP, and all dollar amounts are expressed in United States dollars unless otherwise indicated.

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

	Yea	ars End	ed December	31,	
(in \$000s except per share amounts)	 2009		2008		2007
Total revenues	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
(Loss) income before discontinued operations and extraordinary items:					
(i) Total	\$ (1,579)	\$	(6,963)	\$	1,515
(ii) Basic per share	\$ (0.02)	\$	(0.10)	\$	0.02
(iii) Fully diluted per share	\$ (0.02)	\$	(0.10)	\$	0.02
Net income (loss):					
(i) Total	\$ (1,579)	\$	(6,963)	\$	1,515
(ii) Basic per share	\$ (0.02)	\$	(0.10)	\$	0.02
(iii) Fully diluted per share	\$ (0.02)	\$	(0.10)	\$	0.02
Total assets	\$ 13,167	\$	12,829	\$	20,115
Total long-term liabilities	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Dividends per share (1)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-

⁽¹⁾ The Company has not paid any cash dividends. In 2008, the Company distributed shares of Caza as a dividend-in-kind pursuant to the Plan of Arrangement. Items 1.2 and 1.4 provide further details.

1.4 Results of Operations

Fourth Quarter of Fiscal 2009 - Year ended December 31, 2009 compared with December 31, 2008

The Company incurred a net loss of approximately \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 which is lower than the net loss of \$6.96 million for fiscal 2008. Operating losses for fiscal 2009 were lower than for fiscal 2008, reflecting the reduced operating activities of the Company as it endeavours to preserve its cash and to reduce its monthly burn-rates, given the slow recovery and lingering weaknesses in the financial markets during the year. The main focus for the Company has primarily been in identifying, assessing and analyzing possible projects of merit for acquisition purposes which culminated in the acquisition of the Tay-LP property in the Yukon in August 2009. Remuneration for employees increased in the latter half of 2009 as efforts were expended in developing, implementing and completing the Phase 1 exploration program for the Tay-LP property by the end of the third quarter, and in arranging and closing three private placements in the fourth quarter. Certain discretionary expenditures were minimized and contributed to reducing operating expenses in comparison to fiscal 2008. Expenses for office and sundry and investor relations have decreased significantly relative to the prior year. Expenses for corporate development reflect active due diligence and property evaluations for acquisitions and to identify properties of merit for possible acquisitions.

Stock-based compensation is from the granting and vesting of stock options. On July 15, 2009, the Company granted 1,680,000 stock options with an exercise price of CAD\$0.11 and an expiry date of July 15, 2014 and which are subject to a vesting provision in which 20% of the options vest immediately and 20% vest every six months.

The significantly higher net loss in fiscal 2008 was primarily attributable to the disposition of the Benzdorp property which was written off at December 31, 2008 for \$6.3 million which continued into the first quarter of fiscal 2009 with additional costs of \$57,000 from transient expenses incurred for the Benzdorp property.

The net loss for fiscal 2008 would have been higher if it were not for the gain realized from the Company's disposition of some of its shareholdings in Aztec and the gain from the sale of the subsidiary which held the Bellavista property. In January

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

2008, the Company disposed of shares of Aztec, resulting in a realized gain of \$179,000. During the latter half of fiscal 2008, the Company received proceeds of CAD\$215,000 from the disposition of the Bellavista property. These transactions supplemented the financing needs for operating activities and working capital for part of fiscal 2008. The Company also disposed of all its remaining marketable securities at a realized loss of \$32,000.

Gains of \$93,000 were realized in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009 from the disposition of Caza shares. The Company recognized \$8,000 from the accretion of royalty receivable from the Sarakreek property.

On December 31, 2009, the Company recognized a future income tax expense of \$226,000 related to expenditures which do not qualify as Canadian exploration expenses ("CEE") for flow-through tax purposes as determined by Canada Revenue Agency ("CRA"). The Company has estimated approximately \$661,700 in exploration expenditures which do not qualify as CEE for flow-through purposes, resulting in a future income tax expense of approximately \$226,000. These exploration expenditures were previously renounced in March 2007.

Pursuant to an audit by CRA in 2009, the Company has estimated approximately \$661,700 in exploration expenditures incurred in 2007 which do not qualify as CEE for flow-through purposes related to a flow-through private placement which closed in October 2006. Consequently the Company has recognized a flow through financing cost of \$489,000. In February 2010, an initial proposal by CRA to the Company disallowed approximately CAD\$1.2 million in CEE of which the Company is currently estimating approximately CAD\$545,000 as being qualified for CEE for flow-through purposes. The initial proposal by CRA would have resulted in a liability to the Company of up to CAD\$886,800. The flow-through financing cost of \$489,000 has been reduced by the BC Mineral Exploration tax credits of approximately \$113,000 for expenditures incurred in 2005 and 2006, as reviewed by CRA, and GST receivable of approximately \$47,000, resulting in an estimated income tax balance payable of \$329,000.

The Company has no sources of operating revenues.

In April 2008, the Company terminated its efforts to enter into a formal agreement for the Providencia property, and the Company wrote-off related exploration expenditures in the first quarter of 2008, and the 30,000 shares which were originally issued were returned to treasury and cancelled.

On June 25, 2008, the Company closed the plan of arrangement with Caza whereby approximately 83% of the Company's interest in Caza was distributed to the shareholders of the Company. The Company transferred all its interest in its whollyowned Mexican subsidiary, Minera Canarc, which holds all the rights to the Mexican gold exploration properties to Caza in return for 14,346,527 shares of Caza, of which the Company distributed 11,950,577 Caza shares by way of a dividend in kind to the Company's shareholders on the basis of one share of Caza for every six shares of the Company held by shareholders as of the dividend record date. The property rights which were transferred from the Company to Caza include Los Arrastres, Santiago and Santiago Fraction properties. The plan of arrangement reduced operating expenses, as the Company excluded the operating expenses of Caza and its Mexican subsidiary which held the exploration rights to the Mexican gold properties.

The exploration concessions for the Benzdorp property expired in July 2007, and an application was submitted for a three year extension which had not been provided. The Company decided not to pursue any further exploration efforts on the Benzdorp property and, accordingly, wrote off the Benzdorp property at December 31, 2008.

For the latter half of fiscal 2009, the Company made a cash payment of CAD\$20,000 in August 2009 for the Tay-LP property. On November 4, 2009, the Company issued 160,250 shares at a price of CAD\$0.156 per share as the annual advance NSR royalty for CAD\$25,000. Exploration expenditures of \$440,000 were incurred for the Tay-LP property.

Efforts in late fiscal 2009 were focused on an NI 43-101 compliant technical report for the New Polaris gold project which resulted in a preliminary economic assessment, the Moose Mountain Revised Report, which supported the project's economics.

As at December 31, 2009, the Company has mineral property interests which are comprised of the following:

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

	December 31, 2009								
	A	Acqui siti on		Explo	oration/				
(in \$000s)		Costs			Development		otal		
British Columbia: New Polaris	\$	\$	3,605	\$	8,556	\$	12,161		
Yukon:			25		440		465		
Tay-LP			25		440		465		
	\$	\$	3,630	\$	8,996	\$	12,626		

At December 31, 2009, to maintain its interest and to fully exercise the options under various property agreements covering its property interests, the Company must incur exploration expenditures on the properties and/or make payments in the form of cash and/or shares to the optionors as follows:

	Option Exploration Advance Royalty Payments Commitments Payments		Net Smelter Reduction		Shares				
	(CAD\$0	000s)	(CADS	\$000s)	(CAD\$0	000s)	(US\$	000s)	
New Polaris:									
Net profit interest reduction or buydown									150,000
Tay-LP:									
April 30, 2010	\$	30	\$	-					
October 31, 2010		50		-					
October 31, 2011		50		423					
October 31, 2012		850		600					
Annual advance royalty payments					¢	25			
until commercial production					\$	25			
Net smelter reduction from 3% to 1.5%							\$	1,950	
	\$	980	\$	1,023	\$	25	\$	1,950	150,000

These amounts may be reduced in the future as the Company determines which properties to continue to explore and which to abandon.

1.5 Summary of Quarterly Results

All financial information is prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAP, and all dollar amounts are expressed in United States dollars unless otherwise indicated.

The following table provides selected financial information of the Company for each of the last eight quarters ended at the most recently completed quarter, December 31, 2009:

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

(in \$000s except					200	19				2008						
per share amounts)		Dec 31 Sept 3		Sept 30	0 June 30		Mar 31]	Dec 31		Sept 30		June 30		Mar 31	
Total revenues	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
(Loss) income before discontinued discontinued operations and extraordinary items:																
(i) Total	\$	(964)	\$	(291)	\$	(175)	\$	(149)	\$	(6,551)	\$	2	\$	(207)	\$	(207)
(ii) Basic per share(iii) Fully diluted	\$	(0.02)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(0.10)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
per share	\$	(0.02)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(0.10)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Net (loss) income:																
(i) Total	\$	(964)	\$	(291)	\$	(175)	\$	(149)	\$	(6,551)	\$	2	\$	(207)	\$	(207)
(ii) Basic per share(iii) Fully diluted	\$	(0.02)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(0.10)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
per share	\$	(0.02)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(0.10)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total assets	\$	13,167	\$	13,086	\$	12,688	\$	12,678	\$	12,829	\$	19,444	\$	19,424	\$	19,808
Total long-term liabilities	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Dividends per share	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Gains from the disposition of shares of Caza were realized in the fourth quarter of 2009. Gains from the disposition of shares of Aztec were realized in the first quarter of 2008 and gains from the disposition of its subsidiary which holds the net profit interest in the Bellavista property in the latter half of fiscal 2008. The Company has no sources of operating revenues.

1.6 <u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>

The Company is in the development stage and has not yet determined whether its mineral property interests contain reserves that are economically recoverable. The recoverability of amounts capitalized for mineral property interests is entirely dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable reserves, the ability of the Company to obtain the necessary financing to complete the development and upon future profitable production. The Company knows of no trends, demands, commitments, events or uncertainties that may result in the Company's liquidity either materially increasing or decreasing at the present time or in the foreseeable future. Material increases or decreases in the Company's liquidity are substantially determined by the success or failure of the Company's exploration programs and overall market conditions for smaller mineral exploration companies. Since its incorporation in 1987, the Company has endeavored to secure mineral property interests that in due course could be brought into production to provide the Company with cash flow which would be used to undertake work programs on other projects. To that end, the Company has expended its funds on mineral property interests that it believes have the potential to achieve cash flow within a reasonable time frame. As a result, the Company has incurred losses during each of its fiscal years since incorporation. This result is typical of smaller exploration companies and will continue unless positive cash flow is achieved.

The following table contains selected financial information of the Company's liquidity:

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

	December 31,						
(in \$000s)	2	2009	2	800	2	2007	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	155	\$	155	\$	633	
Working capital (deficiency)	\$	(649)	\$	194	\$	590	

Ongoing operating expenses continue to reduce the Company's cash resources and working capital.

In the first quarter of 2008, the Company did dispose of shares of Aztec, which generated cash proceeds of \$203,000 to supplement the operating and working capital needs of the Company. Nominal proceeds of \$12,000 were from the sale of remaining marketable securities in the second quarter of 2008. In the latter half of 2008, proceeds of CAD\$215,000 were received from the disposition of the subsidiary which holds the net profit interest in the Bellavista property.

In December 2008, the Company closed a private placement for 1,000,000 units at CAD\$0.10 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$100,000. Each unit was comprised of one common share and one-half of a share purchase warrant; each whole share purchase warrant is exercisable to acquire one common share at an exercise price of CAD\$0.15 until June 1, 2010.

In May 2009, the Company received CAD\$62,030 in demand loans from certain directors and an officer of the Company. The loans are repayable on demand and bear an interest rate of 9% per annum and are secured by the Company's shareholdings in Caza at CAD\$0.25 per share.

On October 22, 2009, the Company closed two private placements. One private placement was for 4,000,000 flow through shares at CAD\$0.12 per share for gross proceeds of CAD\$480,000. Finders fees were comprised of CAD\$25,523 in cash and 241,570 warrants, of which 39,410 warrants have an exercise price of CAD\$0.15 and an expiry date of April 22, 2011 and the remaining 202,160 warrants have an exercise price of CAD\$0.15 and an expiry date of October 22, 2011. The second private placement was for 4,800,000 units at CAD\$0.10 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$480,000. Each unit was comprised of one common share and one-half of a share purchase warrant; each whole share purchase warrant is exercisable to acquire one common share at CAD\$0.15 until April 22, 2011. Finders fees were comprised of CAD\$18,011 in cash and 168,140 warrants which have the same terms as the warrants in the private placement for units.

In October 2009, the Company disposed of 800,000 common shares of Caza for CAD\$0.15 per share for total proceeds of CAD\$120,000.

On November 9, 2009, the Company closed a private placement for 304,900 units at CAD\$0.1225 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$37,350. Each unit was comprised of one common share and one-half of a share purchase warrant; each whole share purchase warrant is exercisable to acquire one common share at CAD\$0.165 until May 9, 2011. Finders fees were comprised of CAD\$240 in cash and 1,960 warrants which have the same terms as the warrants in the private placement for units.

The Company received \$50,000 from its annual royalty from the Sara Kreek project.

The working capital deficiency of \$274,000 at December 31, 2009 includes the notes payable and accrued interests of \$63,000, due to certain directors and an officer of the Company and corporate income taxes payable of \$329,000.

The Company has entered into a number of option agreements for mineral properties that involve payments in the form of cash and/or shares of the Company as well as minimum exploration expenditure requirements. Under Item 1.4, further details of contractual obligations are provided as at December 31, 2009. The Company will continue to rely upon equity financing as its principal source of financing its projects.

1.7 Capital Resources

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

Item 1.6 provides further details.

1.8 Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

On May 31, 2005, the shareholders of the Company approved a shareholder rights plan (the "Plan"), that became effective on April 30, 2005. The Plan is intended to ensure that any entity seeking to acquire control of the Company makes an offer that represents fair value to all shareholders and provides the board of directors with sufficient time to assess and evaluate the offer, to permit competing bids to emerge, and, as appropriate, to explore and develop alternatives to maximize value for shareholders. Under the Plan, each shareholder at the time of the Plan's adoption was issued one Right for each common share of the Company held. Each Right entitles the registered holder thereof, except for certain "Acquiring Persons" (as defined in the Plan), to purchase from treasury one common share at a 50% discount to the prevailing market price, subject to certain adjustments intended to prevent dilution. The Rights are exercisable after the occurrence of specified events set out in the Plan generally related to when a person, together with affiliated or associated persons, acquires, or makes a take-over bid to acquire, beneficial ownership of 20% or more of the outstanding common shares of the Company. The Rights expire on April 30, 2015.

At the discretion of the Board, certain option grants provide the option holder the right to receive the number of common shares, valued at the quoted market price at the time of exercise of the stock options, that represent the share appreciation since granting the options.

1.9 Transactions with Related Parties

General and administrative costs during the year ended December 31, 2009 include:

- CAD\$57,586 of salaries paid to an employee who is director;
- CAD\$40,000 to directors in their capacity as directors of the Company;
- CAD\$102,608 in legal fees to a law firm in which a senior officer of the Company is a partner;
- CAD\$262,322 in office rent and salary allocations recovered from companies sharing certain common directors;
 and
- CAD\$79,827 in office rent and salary allocations incurred to a company sharing certain common directors.

Details of transactions with Aztec and Caza and the plan of arrangement with Caza are provided in Items 1.2, 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6.

Details of demand loans from related parties are provided in Items 1.2 and 1.6.

1.10 Fourth Quarter

Items 1.2, 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6 provide further details for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009.

1.11 Proposed Transactions

There are no proposed material asset or business acquisitions or dispositions, other than those in the ordinary course of business and other than those already disclosed in this MD&A, before the board of directors for consideration, and other than those already disclosed in its regulatory and public filings.

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

1.12 Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements requires the Company to make estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant areas requiring the use of estimates relate to collectability of receivables, mineral properties, balances of accrued liabilities, determination of reclamation obligations, fair values of financial instruments, valuation allowances for future income tax assets, corporate income taxes payable and assumptions used in determining the fair value of non-cash stock-based compensation. While management believes that these estimates are reasonable, actual results could differ from those estimates and could impact future results of operations and cash flows.

Acquisition costs of mineral properties and exploration and development expenditures incurred thereto are capitalized and deferred. The costs related to a property from which there is production will be amortized using the unit-of-production method. Capitalized costs are written down to their estimated recoverable amount if the property is subsequently determined to be uneconomic. The amounts shown for mineral properties represent costs incurred to date, less recoveries and write-downs, and do not reflect present or future values.

Pursuant to an audit by CRA in 2009, the Company has estimated approximately \$661,700 in exploration expenditures incurred in 2007 do not qualify as CEE for flow-through purposes related to a flow-through private placement which closed in October 2006. Consequently the Company has recognized a flow-through financing cost of \$489,000. In February 2010, an initial proposal which was submitted by CRA to the Company has disallowed approximately CAD\$1.2 million in CEE of which the Company is currently estimating approximately CAD\$545,000 as being qualified for CEE for flow-through purposes. The initial proposal by CRA would have resulted in a liability to the Company of up to CAD\$886,800. The flow-through financing cost of \$489,000 has been reduced by the BC Mineral Exploration tax credits of approximately \$113,000 for expenditures incurred in 2005 and 2006, as reviewed by CRA, and GST receivable of approximately \$47,000, resulting in an estimated income tax balance payable of \$329,000.

1.13 Changes in Accounting Policies Including Initial Adoption

Accounting pronouncements which came into effect for fiscal 2008 as issued by the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants ("CICA") are as follows:

(i) Capital disclosures:

In February 2007, the CICA issued Handbook Section 1535, "Capital Disclosures", which requires the disclosure of both qualitative and quantitative information that provides users of financial statements with information to evaluate the entity's objectives, policies and procedures for managing capital. The new section was effective for the Company on January 1, 2008.

(ii) Financial instruments:

In February 2007, the CICA issued two new standards, Section 3862 "Financial Instruments Disclosures" and Section 3863 "Financial Instruments Presentation". These sections replaced Section 3861, "Financial Instruments Disclosure and Presentation". Section 3862 provides users with information to evaluate the significance of the financial instruments of the entity's financial position and performances, nature and extent of risks arising from financial instruments, and how the entity manages those risks. Section 3863 deals with the classification of financial instruments, related interests, dividends, losses and gains, and the circumstances in which financial assets and financial liabilities are offset. The new section was effective for the Company on January 1, 2008.

(iii) Going concern:

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

In June 2007, the CICA amended Handbook Section 1400, "General Standards of Financial Statement Presentation", which requires management to make an assessment of the Company's ability to continue as a going-concern. When financial statements are not prepared on a going-concern basis, that fact shall be disclosed together with the basis on which the financial statements are prepared and the reason why the Company is not considered a going-concern. The new section was effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. The Company's accounting policies were already in accordance with the requirements of the amended section and there was no effect on the Company's financial statement disclosure, or on its consolidated financial position or its consolidated results of operations.

New accounting pronouncements which came into effect for fiscal 2009 as issued by the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants ("CICA") are as follows:

(i) Goodwill and intangible assets:

In February 2008, the CICA issued Handbook Section 3064 "Goodwill and intangible assets", replacing Section 3062, "Goodwill and other intangible assets" and Section 3450, "Research and development costs". This section establishes standards for the recognition, measurement, presentation, and disclosure of goodwill subsequent to its initial recognition and of intangible assets by profit-oriented enterprises. Standards concerning goodwill are unchanged from the standards included in the previous Section 3062. The new section is effective for the Company on January 1, 2009. The Company has no goodwill or intangible assets as of December 31, 2009.

New accounting pronouncements as issued by the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants ("CICA") are as follows:

(i) New Accounting Pronouncements:

International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"):

In 2008, the Canadian Accounting Standards Board ("AcSB") confirmed that the transition to IFRS from Canadian GAAP will be effective for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2011 for publicly accountable enterprises. The Company will therefore be required to present IFRS financial statements for its March 31, 2011 interim financial statements. The effective date will require the restatement for comparative purposes of amounts reported by the Company for the interim periods and for the year ended December 31, 2010. The Company is currently evaluating the impacts of the conversion on the Company's consolidated financial statements and is considering accounting policy choices available under IFRS.

The Company is in the familiarization and planning phase of its IFRS changeover plan. Activities in this phase include senior finance staff becoming familiar with the differences between Canadian GAAP and IFRS, task definition, resource allocation and training and the potential impact on disclosure and internal controls.

(ii) Business combinations:

In January 2009, the CICA issued Section 1582, "Business Combinations", Section 1601, "Consolidated Financial Statements", and Section 1602, "Non-Controlling Interests". These sections replace the former Section 1581, "Business Combinations", and Section 1600, "Consolidated Financial Statements", and establish a new section for accounting for a non-controlling interest in a subsidiary.

Sections 1582 and 1602 will require net assets, non-controlling interests and goodwill acquired in a business combination to be recorded at fair value and non-controlling interests will be reported as a component of equity. In addition, the definition of a business is expanded and is described as an integrated set of activities and assets that are capable of being managed to provide a return to investors or economic benefits to owners. Acquisition costs are not part of the consideration and are to be expensed when incurred. Section 1601 establishes standards for the preparation of consolidated financial statements.

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

The new sections apply to interim and annual consolidated financial statements relating to fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2011. Earlier adoption of these sections is permitted as of the beginning of a fiscal year. All three sections must be adopted concurrently. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of these sections.

Transition issues from Canadian GAAP to IFRS:

Effective January 1, 2011, the Company is required to adopt IFRS and would therefore report IFRS financial statements for its March 31, 2010 interim financial statements. This would also require the restatement for comparative purposes of amounts reported for the interim periods and for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Preliminary initial assessments by the Company on the impact from the transition from Canadian GAAP to IFRS have identified certain issues affecting the Company's accounting policies and its financial reporting, and further review and analysis of transition issues and IFRS implication for the Company are ongoing as well as staff training.

Accounting Issues	IFRS Implications
Deferred exploration expenditures	IFRS permits the capitalization of exploration expenditures prior to the technical feasibility and commercial viability of extracting a mineral resource are demonstrable. IFRS requires an impairment test on exploration assets when facts and circumstances suggest the carrying amounts of those assets may exceed their recoverable values.
Long term investments in shares of other companies	Under IFRS, portfolio investments are similar to Canadian GAAP for the Company's financial instruments in available-for-sale investments. However, IFRS requires a fair value determination for available-for-sale investments which may not have a quoted price in an active market when a fair value can still be reliably determined.
Stock-based compensation	 Under Canadian GAAP, options which are vested can use the following: pooled as one grant and vested on a straight line basis, or treated as separate individual grants and vest each grant on a straight line basis over each vesting period. IFRS requires the latter alternative. IFRS also requires an estimation of forfeiture rates. The Company currently uses the latter alternative for its granting of vested stock options, and estimates forfeiture rates in its US GAAP reconciliation for the Form 20-F.
Units issuance in private placements	Units in a private placement are comprised of shares and warrants. IFRS requires a value allocated to warrants in a unit private placement based upon the relative fair value. In its US GAAP reconciliation for the Form 20-F, the Company estimates the fair value of warrants in unit private placements.
Functional currency	IFRS is more prescriptive in the determination of the functional currency whereby consideration is given to such factors as the primary economic environment where cash is generated and expended, the currency in which

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

	funds from financings are received, and cash flows of foreign operations and impact to the parent company.
Plan of arrangement for the spin out of Caza Gold Corp.	In 2008, the plan of arrangement between the Company and Caza involves related parties and was accounted for at carrying value in accordance with Canadian GAAP. For IFRS, all related party transactions are to be at fair value whereby the Company needs to determine what is the fair value for the plan of arrangement.

1.14 Financial Instruments and Other Instruments

The Company classifies its financial instruments as follows:

- cash as held-for-trading,
- long term investments as available-for-sale,
- receivables as loans and receivables,
- royalties receivable as loans and receivables, and
- accounts payable and accrued liabilities, notes payables and income taxes payable as other financial liabilities.

Management of Financial Risk

The Company is exposed in varying degrees to a variety of financial instrument related risks, including credit risk, liquidity risk, and market risk which includes foreign exchange risk and interest rate risk. The types of risk exposure and the way in which such exposure is managed are provided as follows.

The fair values of the Company's cash, receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and notes payable approximate their carrying values due to the short terms to maturity; therefore, disclosure is not made of their level in the fair value hierarchy. Disclosure is not made of the fair value of the long-term investments as the shares do not have a quoted market price in an active market. The fair value of the royalty receivable approximates its carrying value as it was initially recognized at fair value and subsequently measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method. The royalty receivable is level three in the fair value hierarchy as it is based on unobservable inputs.

(a) Credit risk:

Credit risk is the risk of potential loss to the Company if the counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations.

The Company's credit risk is primarily attributable to its liquid financial assets including cash. The Company limits exposure to credit risk on liquid financial assets through maintaining its cash with high-credit quality Canadian financial institutions. Any receivables from government usually bear no risk. The royalty receivable is due from an unrelated company, and the Company has not taken any steps to mitigate the credit risk associated with this receivable.

(b) Liquidity risk:

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they become due.

The Company ensures that there is sufficient capital in order to meet short-term business requirements, after taking into account the Company's holdings of cash and its ability to raise equity financings. The Company will require

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

significant additional funding to meet its short-term liabilities, flow-through obligations and administrative overhead costs, and to maintain its mineral property interests in 2010.

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities are due within the current operating year, and the notes payables are due on demand.

(c) Market risk:

The significant market risk exposures to which the Company is exposed are foreign exchange risk and interest rate risk

(i) Foreign exchange risk:

The Company's mineral properties and operations are in Canada, and would subject it to foreign currency fluctuations including currency transaction risk and currency translation risk. A certain portion of its operating expenses are incurred in Canadian dollars, and fluctuations in U.S. dollars would impact the earnings (losses) of the Company and the values of its assets and shareholders' equity as its financial statements are stated in U.S. dollars. The Canadian dollar fluctuates and floats with the U.S. dollar.

At December 31, 2009, the Company is exposed to currency risk for its U.S. dollar equivalent of financial assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars as follows:

	Held in Canadia (stated in U	
Cash	\$	39
Receivables and prepaids		145
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		(428)
Notes payable		(63)
Income taxes payable		(329)
Net financial assets (liabilities)	\$	(636)

Based upon the above net exposure as at December 31, 2009 and assuming all other variables remain constant, a 10% depreciation or appreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar could result in a decrease/increase of \$63,600 in the Company's net earnings (losses).

The Company has not entered into any agreements or purchased any instruments to hedge possible currency risks at this time.

(ii) Interest rate risk:

In respect of financial assets, the Company's policy is to invest cash at floating rates of interest in cash equivalents, in order to maintain liquidity, while achieving a satisfactory return. Fluctuations in interest rates impact on the value of cash equivalents. Interest rate risk is not significant to the Company as it has no cash equivalents at year-end and the notes payable are stated at a fixed interest rate.

1.15 Other MD&A Requirements

1.15.1 Other MD&A Requirements

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

Additional information relating to the Company are as follows:

- (a) may be found on SEDAR at <u>www.sedar.com</u>;
- (b) may be found in the Company's annual information form; and
- (c) is also provided in the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008.

1.15.2 Outstanding Share Data

The Company's authorized share capital consists of unlimited common shares without par value.

Changes in the Company's share capital for the year ended December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	Number of Shares		Amount	
		(i	n \$000s)	
Balance at December 31, 2008	72,704,505	\$	55,349	
Issued:				
Private placements	9,104,900		837	
Property acquisitions	160,250		24	
Provision for flow-through shares	<u>-</u>		226	
Balance at December 31, 2009	81,969,655	\$	56,436	

At March 24, 2010, there were 81,969,655 common shares issued and outstanding.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had outstanding stock options to purchase an aggregate 8,665,000 common shares as follows:

	December 31	, 2009	
	·	Weighted	
		average	
		exercise	
	Number	price	
	of Shares	(CAD\$)	
Outstanding, beginning of year	8,079,000	\$0.48	
Granted	1,680,000	\$0.11	
Forfeited	(60,000)	\$0.38	
Expired	(1,034,000)	\$0.73	
Outstanding, end of year	8,665,000	\$0.38	
Exercise price range (CAD\$)	\$0.11 - \$0.74		

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

Of the options granted in June 2007, options for 500,000 common shares with an exercise price of CAD\$0.54 and an expiry date of June 15, 2012 have vesting provisions in which options for 250,000 common shares vested on June 15, 2008 and the balance of 250,000 vested on June 15, 2009.

Options for 1.8 million shares which were granted in May 2008 are subject to a vesting provision in which 20% of the options vest immediately and 20% vest every six months.

Options for 1.68 million shares, which were granted in July 2009, are subject to a vesting provision in which 20% of the options vest immediately and 20% vest every six months.

At March 24, 2010, stock options for 8,665,000 common shares remain outstanding.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had outstanding warrants as follows:

Prices		Oustanding at				Oustanding at
(CAD\$)	Expiry Dates	December 31, 2008	Issued	Exercised	Expired	December 31, 2009
\$0.15	June 1, 2010	500,000	-	-	-	500,000
\$0.15	April 22, 2011	-	39,410	-	-	39,410
\$0.15	October 22, 2011	-	202,160	-	-	202,160
\$0.15	April 22, 2011	-	2,568,140	-	-	2,568,140
\$0.165	May 9, 2011	-	154,410	-	-	154,410
		500,000	2,964,120	-	-	3,464,12

At March 24, 2010, warrants for 3,464,120 common shares are outstanding.

1.16 Outlook

Although it currently has sufficient capital to fund a portion of its working capital, the Company will continue to depend upon debt and equity financings to continue exploration work on its mineral property interests and to meet its administrative overhead costs for the remainder of the 2010 fiscal year. There are no assurances that capital requirements will be met by this means of financing as inherent risks are attached therein including commodity prices, financial market conditions, and general economic factors. The Company does not expect to realize any operating revenues from its properties in the foreseeable future.

1.17 Risk Factors

The following is a brief discussion of those distinctive or special characteristics of the Company's operations and industry that may have a material impact on, or constitute risk factors in respect of, the Company's future financial performance.

Exploration and Development Risks

There is no assurance given by the Company that its exploration and development programs and properties will result in the discovery, development or production of a commercially viable ore body.

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

The business of exploration for minerals and mining involves a high degree of risk. Few properties that are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. There is no assurance that the Company's mineral exploration and development activities will result in any discoveries of bodies of commercial ore. The economics of developing gold and other mineral properties are affected by many factors including capital and operating costs, variations of the grades and tonnages of ore mined, fluctuating mineral market prices, costs of mining and processing equipment and such other factors as government regulations, including regulations relating to royalties, allowable production, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection. Substantial expenditures are required to establish reserves through drilling and other work, to develop metallurgical processes to extract metal from ore, and to develop the mining and processing facilities and infrastructure at any site chosen for mining. No assurance can be given that funds required for development can be obtained on a timely basis. The marketability of any minerals acquired or discovered may be affected by numerous factors which are beyond the Company's control and which cannot be accurately foreseen or predicted, such as market fluctuations, the global marketing conditions for precious and base metals, the proximity and capacity of milling and smelting facilities, mineral markets and processing equipment, and such other factors as government regulations, including regulations relating to royalties, allowable production, importing and exporting minerals and environmental protection. In order to commence exploitation of certain properties presently held under exploration concessions, it is necessary for the Company to apply for exploitation concessions. There can be no guarantee that such concessions will be granted.

Financing Risks

There is no assurance given by the Company that it will be able to secure the financing necessary to explore, develop and produce its mineral properties.

The Company does not presently have sufficient financial resources or operating cash-flow to undertake by itself all of its planned exploration and development programs. The development of the Company's properties may therefore depend on the Company's joint venture partners and on the Company's ability to obtain additional required financing. There is no assurance the Company will be successful in obtaining the required financing, the lack of which could result in the loss or substantial dilution of its interests (as existing or as proposed to be acquired) in its properties as disclosed herein. The Company's ability to continue as a going concern is dependent on the ability of the Company to raise equity capital financings, the attainment of profitable operations, external financings, and further share issuance to satisfy working capital and operating needs.

Estimates of Mineral Deposits

There is no assurance given by the Company that any estimates of mineral deposits herein will not change.

Although all figures with respect to the size and grade of mineralized deposits, or, in some instances have been prepared, reviewed or verified by independent mining experts, these amounts are historic estimates only and are not compliant with NI 43-101, except for the Company's New Polaris project which was the subject of a NI 43-101 report dated March 14, 2007, and no assurance can be given that any identified mineralized deposit will ever qualify as a commercially viable mineable ore body that can be legally and economically exploited. Estimates regarding mineralized deposits can also be affected by many factors such as permitting regulations and requirements, weather, environmental factors, unforeseen technical difficulties, unusual or unexpected geological formations and work interruptions. In addition, the grades and tonnages of ore ultimately mined may differ from that indicated by drilling results and other work. There can be no assurance that gold recovered in small-scale laboratory tests will be duplicated in large-scale tests under on-site conditions. Material changes in mineralized tonnages, grades, dilution and stripping ratios or recovery rates may affect the economic viability of projects. The existence of mineralized deposits should not be interpreted as assurances of the future delineation of ore reserves or the profitability of future operations. The refractory nature of gold mineralization at New Polaris may adversely affect the economic recovery of gold from mining operations.

Mineral Prices

There is no assurance given by the Company that mineral prices will not change.

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

The mining industry is competitive and mineral prices fluctuate so that there is no assurance, even if commercial quantities of a mineral resource are discovered, that a profitable market will exist for the sale of same. Factors beyond the control of the Company may affect the marketability of any substances discovered. The prices of precious and base metals fluctuate on a daily basis, have experienced volatile and significant price movements over short periods of time, and are affected by numerous factors beyond the control of the Company, including international economic and political trends, expectations of inflation, currency exchange fluctuations (specifically, the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies), interest rates, central bank transactions, world supply for precious and base metals, international investments, monetary systems, and global or regional consumption patterns (such as the development of gold coin programs), speculative activities and increased production due to improved mining and production methods. The supply of and demand for gold are affected by various factors, including political events, economic conditions and production costs in major gold producing regions, and governmental policies with respect to gold holdings by a nation or its citizens. The exact effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, and the combination of these factors may result in the Company not receiving adequate returns on invested capital or the investments retaining their respective values. There is no assurance that the prices of gold and other precious and base metals will be such that the Company's properties can be mined at a profit.

Title Matters

There is no assurance given by the Company that it owns legal title to certain of its mineral properties.

The acquisition of title to mineral properties is a very detailed and time-consuming process. Title to any of the Company's mining concessions may come under dispute. While the Company has diligently investigated title considerations to its mineral properties, in certain circumstances, the Company has only relied upon representations of property partners and government agencies. There is no guarantee of title to any of the Company's properties. The properties may be subject to prior unregistered agreements or transfers, and title may be affected by unidentified and undetected defects. In British Columbia and elsewhere, native land claims or claims of aboriginal title may be asserted over areas in which the Company's properties are located.

Conflicts of Interest

There is no assurance given by the Company that its directors and officers will not have conflicts of interest from time to time.

The Company's directors and officers may serve as directors or officers of other public resource companies or have significant shareholdings in other public resource companies and, to the extent that such other companies may participate in ventures in which the Company may participate, the directors of the Company may have a conflict of interest in negotiating and concluding terms respecting the extent of such participation. The interests of these companies may differ from time to time. In the event that such a conflict of interest arises at a meeting of the Company's directors, a director who has such a conflict will abstain from voting for or against any resolution involving any such conflict. From time to time several companies may participate in the acquisition, exploration and development of natural resource properties thereby allowing for their participation in larger programs, permitting involvement in a greater number of programs and reducing financial exposure in respect of any one program. It may also occur that a particular company will assign all or a portion of its interest in a particular program to another of these companies due to the financial position of the company making the assignment. In accordance with the laws of the Province of British Columbia, Canada, the directors of the Company are required to act honestly, in good faith and in the best interests of the Company. In determining whether or not the Company will participate in any particular exploration or mining project at any given time, the directors will primarily consider the upside potential for the project to be accretive to shareholders, the degree of risk to which the Company may be exposed and its financial position at that time.

Uninsured Risks

There is no assurance given by the Company that it is adequately insured against all risks.

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

The Company may become subject to liability for cave-ins, pollution or other hazards against which it cannot insure or against which it has elected not to insure because of high premium costs or other reasons. The payment of such liabilities would reduce the funds available for exploration and mining activities.

Environmental and Other Regulatory Requirements

There is no assurance given by the Company that it has met all environmental or regulatory requirements.

The current or future operations of the Company, including exploration and development activities and commencement of production on its properties, require permits from various foreign, federal, state and local governmental authorities and such operations are and will be governed by laws and regulations governing prospecting, development, mining, production, exports, taxes, labour standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, land use, environmental protection, mine safety and other matters. Companies engaged in the development and operation of mines and related facilities generally experience increased costs, and delays in production and other schedules as a result of the need to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permits. There can be no assurance that approvals and permits required in order for the Company to commence production on its various properties will be obtained. Additional permits and studies, which may include environmental impact studies conducted before permits can be obtained, are necessary prior to operation of the other properties in which the Company has interests and there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain or maintain all necessary permits that may be required to commence construction, development or operation of mining facilities at these properties on terms which enable operations to be conducted at economically justifiable costs.

Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations, and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment or remedial actions. Parties engaged in mining operations may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of the mining activities and may have civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violations of applicable laws or regulations. New laws or regulations or amendments to current laws, regulations and permits governing operations and activities of mining companies, or more stringent implementation of current laws, regulations or permits, could have a material adverse impact on the Company and cause increases in capital expenditures or production costs or reduction in levels of production at producing properties or require abandonment or delays in development of new mining properties.

Reclamation

There is a risk that monies allotted for land reclamation may not be sufficient to cover all risks, due to changes in the nature of the waste rock or tailings and/or revisions to government regulations. Therefore additional funds, or reclamation bonds or other forms of financial assurance may be required over the tenure of the project to cover potential risks. These additional costs may have material adverse impact on the financial condition and results of the Company.

Foreign Countries and Regulatory Requirements

Certain of the Company's properties have been located in countries outside of Canada, and mineral exploration and mining activities may be affected in varying degrees by political stability and government regulations relating to the mining industry. Any changes in regulations or shifts in political attitudes may vary from country to country and are beyond the control of the Company and may adversely affect its business. Such changes have, in the past, included nationalization of foreign owned businesses and properties. Operations may be affected in varying degrees by government regulations with respect to restrictions on production, price controls, export controls, income and other taxes and duties, expropriation of property, environmental legislation and mine safety. These uncertainties may make it more difficult for the Company and its joint venture partners to obtain any required production financing for its mineral properties.

Currency Fluctuation and Foreign Exchange Controls

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

The Company maintains a portion of its funds in U.S. dollar denominated accounts. Certain of the Company's property and related contracts may be denominated in U.S. dollars. The Company's operations in countries other than Canada are normally carried out in the currency of that country and make the Company subject to foreign currency fluctuations and such fluctuations may materially affect the Company's financial position and results. In addition future contracts may not be denominated in U.S. dollars and may expose the Company to foreign currency fluctuations and such fluctuations may materially affect the Company's financial position and results. In addition, the Company is or may become subject to foreign exchange restrictions which may severely limit or restrict its ability to repatriate capital or profits from its properties outside of Canada to Canada. Such restrictions have existed in the past in countries in which the Company holds property interests and future impositions of such restrictions could have a materially adverse effect on the Company's future profitability or ability to pay dividends.

Third Party Reliance

The Company's rights to acquire interests in certain mineral properties have been granted by third parties who themselves hold only an option to acquire such properties. As a result, the Company may have no direct contractual relationship with the underlying property holder.

Volatility of Shares Could Cause Investor Loss

The market price of a publicly traded stock, especially a junior issuer like the Company, is affected by many variables in addition to those directly related to exploration successes or failures. Such factors include the general condition of the market for junior resource stocks, the strength of the economy generally, the availability and attractiveness of alternative investments, and the breadth of the public market for the stock. The effect of these and other factors on the market price of the common shares on the TSX and NASD-OTC suggests that the Company's shares will continue to be volatile. Therefore, investors could suffer significant losses if the Company's shares are depressed or illiquid when an investor seeks liquidity and needs to sell the Company's shares.

Possible Dilution to Current Shareholders based on Outstanding Options and Warrants

At December 31, 2009, the Company had 81,969,655 common shares and 8,665,000 share purchase options and 3,464,120 share purchase warrants outstanding. The resale of outstanding shares from the exercise of dilutive securities could have a depressing effect on the market for the Company's shares. At December 31, 2009, dilutive securities represented approximately 15% of the Company's issued shares. Certain of these dilutive securities are exercisable at prices below the December 31, 2009 closing market price of CAD\$0.13 for the Company's shares and, accordingly, will result in dilution to existing shareholders if exercised.

1.18 Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Based upon the evaluation of the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures regarding the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009 and this MD&A, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that material information relating to the Company was made known to others within the company particularly during the period in which this report and accounts were being prepared, and such controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under regulatory rules and securities laws is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified. Management of the Company recognizes that any controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance, and not absolute assurance, of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgement in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (expressed in United States dollars)

Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

The CEO and CFO of the Company are responsible for designing internal controls over financial reporting ("ICOFR") or causing them to be designed under their supervision to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles. We have assessed the design of ICOFR and identified certain weaknesses.

In common with many other smaller companies, the Company has insufficient resources to appropriately review increasingly complex areas of accounting within the accounting function such as those in relation to financial instruments and future income tax.

The Company shall engage the services of an external accounting firm to assist in applying complex areas of accounting as needed. In December 2007, the Company has hired a consultant to design and implement internal controls over financial reporting.

Notwithstanding this weakness, management concluded that the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009 fairly present the Company's financial position and the results of its operations for the year then ended.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

Except as disclosed above, there were no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date the Chief Executive Officer completed his evaluation.